Regulating Antibiotic Use on Crops

Susan Jennings
Senior Advisor for Public Health
Office of Pesticide Programs
US Environmental Protection Agency
February 11, 2021



Antibiotics as Pesticides

- Antibiotics used on crops are pesticides under FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act)
 - EPA required to determine that pesticides are not expected to cause unreasonable adverse effects to human health or the environment
- EPA assesses potential development of antibiotic resistance as an adverse effect under FIFRA



Regulating Antibiotics as Pesticides

- FIFRA requires EPA to consider the costs and benefits before registering a pesticide
 - For antibiotic pesticides, benefits can be high
 - Data limitations can create some uncertainty about the potential for resistance to develop
- Risk analysis is different, benefits analysis same as for other types of pesticides



Benefits of Antibiotics on Crops

- Bacterial pest pressure appears to be increasing on agricultural crops (much as it is on human health)
 - Number of antibiotic pesticides registered for use on crops is limited (three active ingredients)
- Uses may be critical to maintaining health of a single year's harvest or even viability of the industry (e.g., citrus greening)



How Risk Assessments of Antibiotics Differs from Conventional Pesticides

- Conventional pesticide assessments evaluate
 - Human and ecological health, environmental fate and effects, and benefits review (use patterns and pests, alternatives, and comparative performance)
- Antibiotic assessments <u>also</u> evaluate:
 - Potential for resistance, including a specific isolate study based on the active ingredient, a qualitative analysis, and consultation with FDA/CDC/USDA



Assessing for Resistance

- Goal: to reduce the potential for resistance, while allowing availability of antibiotics to protect plant and crop health
- Risk-reduction techniques may mitigate risks
 - More targeted application technique => lower risk
 - Resistance mitigation on a case-by-case basis, depending on crop and antibiotic
- Agricultural practices may also reduce the need for antibiotics on crops



Assessing for Resistance

- Science of resistance contains many unknowns changing all the time
 - Quantitative risk assessment is not possible
- EPA uses qualitative assessment, adapted from FDA's Guidance for Industry #152
- Results from three categories integrated into overall conclusion of Low, Medium or High
 - Potential of the proposed use to contribute to antibiotic resistance in human pathogens



Assessing the Risk: A Collaboration

- CDC, FDA, USDA consulted in risk assessment and management phases
 - Consulted on adapted process, predevelopment
 - EPA shares results, soliciting further comments
 - Risk mitigation/monitoring options discussed
- Options for refining the risk assessment through special studies or other means
- EPA first began consulting with CDC on antibiotic pesticides in 2005



Registration Limitations

- EPA sometimes requires users or registrants to submit monitoring data
 - May show whether the use is likely to convey resistance or may detect any cross-resistance
- EPA may also issue a time-limited registration
 - Resistance issues in plant pathogenic bacteria may not be known immediately after application
- Cooperation and collaboration with federal partners is expected to continue



Conclusions

- EPA uses a robust, science-based process to evaluate potential resistance developing from agricultural use of antibiotics
- Federal partners provide up-to-date input from internationally recognized experts into the pesticide risk assessment
- Science will continue evolving
 - EPA will continue to adjust its assessment and decision processes accordingly