

## Appendix E-4: NHANES Data Used in DGAC Data Analyses

Most of the DGAC data analyses used the National Health and Nutrition Examination (NHANES) data and its dietary component, What We Eat in America (WWEIA), NHANES (Zipf et al., 2013). These data were used to answer questions about food and nutrient intakes because they provide national and group level estimates of dietary intakes of the U.S. population on a given day as well as usual intake distributions. These data contributed substantially to questions answered using data analyses. This appendix describes the NHANES data in greater detail.

### NHANES

NHANES consists of ongoing, comprehensive, cross-sectional, population-based surveys designed to collect data on health, nutritional status, and health behaviors of the non-institutionalized civilian population living in households in the United States. It is conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). NHANES has had a long history starting in the early 1960s (Zipf et al., 2013); it has been monitoring food and nutrient intake and nutritional status of the U.S. population since 1971, starting with NHANES I. Since then, several cycles of NHANES have been conducted as a series of cross-sectional surveys focusing on different population groups in terms of age and race/ethnicity, or health topics. In 1999, NHANES became a continuous survey, sampling U.S. residents of all ages, with a changing focus on a variety of health and nutrition measurements to meet emerging needs. The goals of the continuous NHANES are to provide prevalence data on selected diseases and risk factors for the U.S. population; to monitor trends in selected diseases, behaviors, and environmental exposures; to explore emerging public health needs; and to maintain a national probability sample of baseline information on health and nutritional status of the U.S. household population (Zipf et al., 2013).

NHANES has a complex, multi-stage, probability sampling design and examines a nationally representative sample of about 5,000 persons each year. In NHANES, certain subgroups have been periodically oversampled. These include low income, older Americans, infants and children, pregnant women and certain race/ethnic groups (e.g., Hispanics, including Mexican Americans, African Americans, and more recently, Asian Americans). The NHANES survey is unique because it combines personal interviews with standardized physical examinations and laboratory tests administered by a specially trained staff that travels with the Mobile Examination Center (MEC) to survey sites selected to represent the U.S. population (Zipf et al., 2013).

38 In the continuous NHANES, dietary intake is assessed through two 24-hr recalls, administered  
39 by trained dietary interviewers using the USDA's Automated Multiple Pass Method (AMPM)  
40 (Blanton et al., 2006) through What We Eat in America (WWEIA). The first 24-hr recall (day 1)  
41 is collected in-person at the MEC and a subsequent 24-hr recall (day 2) is obtained 7 to 10 days  
42 later over the telephone. Information on dietary supplements consumed during the 24-hour recall  
43 period is also collected. The strengths of the WWEIA, NHANES dietary data include that  
44 because two 24-hour recalls are available in WWEIA, NHANES (from 2003 onwards), usual  
45 intake distributions can be estimated based on statistical techniques that reduce the effect of  
46 intra-individual variation in food and nutrient intakes in 24-hour recalls (Nusser et al. 1996;  
47 Toozé et al. 2006; Dodd et al. 2006).

48

49 The WWEIA, NHANES dietary data are one of the few sources that can provide national  
50 estimates of total nutrient intake from diet and dietary supplements for the U.S. population.  
51 Moreover, dietary intakes can be described by specific socio-demographic groups including  
52 race/ethnic groups, income status, and participation in Federal nutrition assistance programs  
53 (e.g., Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program). Dietary data from WWEIA, NHANES can  
54 be linked to thorough anthropometric, laboratory, and clinical evaluation data as well health  
55 outcomes to examine cross-sectional associations at the national and large subgroup levels. It  
56 must be recognized that WWEIA, NHANES dietary data are not designed for individual-level  
57 assessment. These data can be useful to inform nutrition policy, but not sufficient by themselves  
58 to form policy recommendations.

59

60 No single perfect method for assessing dietary intake information is available in surveys (Willett  
61 1998; Gibson 2005; Berdainer et al., 2008) and different methods may be indicated for specific  
62 purposes (Willett 1998; Beaton et al., 1983; Berdainer et al., 2008). NCHS has been actively  
63 involved in researching and reviewing its data collection methods, including dietary data, over  
64 the years internally and in consultation with expert groups (Wright et al., 1994; Briefel &  
65 Sempos, 1992). The methods used in NHANES are adapted in light of its large sample size and  
66 complex design, cost and feasibility, and respondent burden to ensure a high response rate to  
67 derive nationally representative estimates. Some examples of adaptations in methods include the  
68 transition to USDA's standardized automated multi-pass method for collection of dietary recalls  
69 by trained interviewers that has been evaluated and associated with reduced measurement error  
70 (Moshfegh et al., 2008). Other examples include collection of an additional 24-hour dietary  
71 recall in NHANES since 2003 (for a total of two 24-hour recalls), coupled with targeted food  
72 frequency questionnaires over various NHANES cycles.

73

74 The strengths and shortcomings of these dietary assessment methods have been discussed over  
75 time in various meetings (e.g., International Conference on Diet and Activity Methods and  
76 American Society for Nutrition/Experimental Biology), workshops, and expert groups. This has  
77 also been discussed for several years in the scientific literature (Beaton 1994; Berdainer et al.,

78 2008) and in recent articles (Archer et al., 2013; Hébert et al., 2014; Webb, 2013). No  
 79 assessment method is perfect and the choice of dietary method is based on the purpose for which  
 80 it is intended. For NHANES, repeated 24-hour recalls remain the backbone of dietary assessment  
 81 and monitoring. These data are useful in providing national- and group-level estimates of dietary  
 82 intakes of the U.S. population, on a given day as well as in describing usual intake distributions  
 83 using appropriate statistical approaches, to inform nutrition policy.

84  
 85

## 86 REFERENCES

- 87 Archer E, Hand GA, Blair SN. Validity of U.S. Nutritional Surveillance: National Health and  
 88 Nutrition Examination Survey Caloric Energy Intake Data, 1971-2010. *PloS one*  
 89 2013;8(10):e76632.
- 90 Beaton GH, Milner J, McGuire V, Feather TE, Little JA. Source of variance in 24-hour dietary  
 91 recall data: implications for nutrition study design and interpretation. Carbohydrate sources,  
 92 vitamins, and minerals. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1983;37(6):986-95.
- 93 Beaton GH. Approaches to analysis of dietary data: relationship between planned analyses and  
 94 choice of methodology. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1994; 59(1Suppl):253S-61S.
- 95 Berdainer CD, Dwyer J, Feldman EB. *Handbook of Nutrition and Food.* New York, NY: CRC  
 96 Press, 2008
- 97 Blanton CA, Moshfegh AJ, Baer DJ, Kretsch MJ. The USDA Automated Multiple-Pass Method  
 98 accurately estimates group total energy and nutrient intake. *J Nutr* 2006;136(10):2594-9.
- 99 Briefel RR, Sempos CT, eds. Dietary methodology workshop for the third National Health and  
 100 Nutrition Examination Survey. National Center for Health Statistics. *Vital and Health Stat* 4(27)  
 101 March 1992.
- 102 Dodd KW, Guenther PM, Freedman LS, Subar AF, Kipnis V, Midthune D, Tooze JA, Krebs-  
 103 Smith SM. Statistical methods for estimating usual intake of nutrients and foods: a review of  
 104 the theory. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2006;106:1640-50.
- 105 Hébert JR, Hurley TG, Steck SE, Miller DR, Tabung FK, Peterson KE, Kushi LH, Frongillo EA.  
 106 Considering the value of dietary assessment data in informing nutrition-related health policy.  
 107 *Adv Nutr.* 2014;5(4):447-55.
- 108 Gibson R. *Principles of Nutritional Assessment.* 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005.

109 Moshfegh AJ, Rhodes DG, Baer DJ, et al. The US Department of Agriculture Automated  
 110 Multiple-Pass Method reduces bias in the collection of energy intakes. *Am J Clin Nutr*  
 111 2008;88(2):324-32.

112 Nusser, S. M., Carriquiry, A. L., Dodd, K. W., and Fuller, W. A. (1996). A semiparametric  
 113 transformation approach to estimating usual daily intake distributions. *J Am Stat Assoc*  
 114 1996;91:1440-49.

115 Toozé JA, Midthune D, Dodd KW, Freedman LS, Krebs-Smith SM, Subar AF, Guenther PM,  
 116 Carroll RJ, Kipnis V. A new statistical method for estimating the usual intake of episodically  
 117 consumed foods with application to their distribution. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2006;106:1575-87.

118 Webb D, Leahy MM, Milner JA, Allison DB, Dodd KW, Gaine PC, Matthews RA, Schneeman  
 119 BO, Tucker KL, Young SS. Strategies to optimize the impact of nutritional surveys and  
 120 epidemiological studies. *Adv Nutr.* 2013 Sep 1;4(5):545-7.

121 Willett W. *Nutritional Epidemiology*. 2nd Edition ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press,  
 122 1998.

123 Wright JD, Ervin B, Briefel RR, eds. *Consensus Workshop on Dietary Assessment: Nutrition*  
 124 *Monitoring and Tracking the Year 2000 Objectives*. National Center for Health Statistics.  
 125 December 1994.

126 Zipf G, Chiaapa M, Porter K, Ostchega Y, Lewis B, Dostal J. *The National Health and Nutrition*  
 127 *Examination Survey: Plan and Operations, 1999-2010*. *Vital Health Stat, 1;56*: National Center  
 128 for Health Statistics, 2013

129

130

131

132

133

134