Evidence Portfolio – Pregnancy and Postpartum Work Group, Question 3

What is the relationship between physical activity and the incidence of preeclampsia and eclampsia?

- a. What dose of physical activity is associated with the reported quantitative benefit or risk?
- b. Is there a dose-response relationship? If yes, what is the shape of the relationship?
- c. Does the relationship vary by age, ethnicity, socio-economic status, or weight status?

Sources of Evidence: Existing Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

Conclusion Statements and Grades

Limited evidence suggests that leisure-time physical activity or exercise training lowers the risk of preeclampsia. **PAGAC Grade: Limited**

Limited evidence suggests that a dose of physical activity similar to the 2015 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Guidelines and the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines is associated with a lower risk of preeclampsia. **PAGAC Grade: Limited.** Limited evidence suggests that a dose-response relationship exists between physical activity and the incidence of preeclampsia. **PAGAC Grade: Limited**.

Insufficient evidence is available to determine whether the relationship between physical activity and preeclampsia varies by age, race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, or weight status. **PAGAC Grade: Not assignable**.

Description of the Evidence

To address its research questions, the Pregnancy and Postpartum Work Group conducted one search for systematic reviews, meta-analyses, pooled analyses, and reports on preeclampsia and eclampsia and chose to rely on 7 searches conducted by PAGAC subcommittees that were considered to have the potential to provide pertinent information on pregnancy and postpartum. The 7 searches conducted by subcommittees included:

- 1. Cardiometabolic Health and Weight Management Q1: What is the relationship between physical activity and prevention of weight gain?
- 2. Cardiometabolic Health and Weight Management Q2: In people with normal blood pressure or pre-hypertension, what is the relationship between physical activity and blood pressure?
- 3. Cardiometabolic Health and Weight Management Q3: In adults without diabetes, what is the relationship between physical activity and type 2 diabetes?
- 4. Brain Health Q2: What is the relationship between physical activity and quality of life?
- 5. Brain Health Q3: What is the relationship between physical activity and (1) affect, (2) anxiety, and (3) depressed mood and depression?
- 6. Brain Health Q4: What is the relationship between physical activity and sleep?

7. Aging Q2: What is the relationship between physical activity and physical function?

Additional searches for systematic reviews, meta-analyses, pooled analyses, reports, or original research were not conducted based on the a priori decision to focus on existing reviews.

Existing Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

Overview

A total of 9 existing reviews that examined the association between physical activity and the incidence of preeclampsia and eclampsia were included: 7 meta-analyses¹⁻⁷ and 2 systematic reviews.^{8,9} The reviews were published between 2011 and 2017.

The meta-analyses included a range of 5 to 81 studies and covered the following timeframe: inception to $2012^{\frac{1}{2}}$; 1966 to $2005^{\frac{2}{2}}$; inception to $2015^{\frac{3}{2}}$; inception to $2011^{\frac{5}{2}}$; inception to $2016^{\frac{4}{2}}$; and inception to $2014^{\frac{6}{2}}$

The systematic reviews included a range of 11 to 26 studies and covered the following timeframes: from inception to 2011 (Wolf, et al., 2014). One systematic review⁸ did not report a timeframe.

Exposures

The included reviews examined different types of physical activity performed before and during pregnancy, including walking and different intensities of physical activity¹; occupational physical activity, including lifting and heavy physical workload²; leisure-time physical activity^{3, 9}; and aerobic exercise.⁴ One review examined sedentary behaviors.⁸

Outcomes

All reviews examined risk or incidence of preeclampsia. One review also assessed risk of eclampsia.⁶

Populations Analyzed

The table below lists the populations analyzed in each article.

Table 1. Populations Analyzed by All Sources of Evidence

	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Age	Weight Status	Pregnancy	Other
Aune, 2014		Female			Pregnant	Smoking status; Geographic Iocation: Europe, America, Asia
Bonzini, 2007	Female				Pregnant, Postpartum	
da Silva, 2017	Female				Pregnant	
Di Mascio, 2016		Female		Normal/Healt hy Weight (BMI: 18.5– 24.9)	Pregnant	
Fazzi, 2017	Female		>16		Pregnant	
Kasawara, 2012		Female	Adults		Pregnant	
Muktabhant, 2015	Female			Normal/Healt hy Weight (BMI: 18.5– 24.9), Overweight and Obese	Pregnant, Postpartum	
Wolf, 2014		Female			Pregnant	
Zheng, 2017		Female			Pregnant	

Supporting Evidence

Existing Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

Table 2. Existing Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Individual Evidence Summary Tables

Meta-Analysis	
Citation: Aune D, Saugstad OD, Henriks	en T, Tonstad S. Physical activity and the risk of preeclampsia:
a systematic review and meta-analysis.	Epidemiology. 2014;25(3):331-343.
doi:10.1097/EDE.000000000000036.	
Purpose: To systematically review	Abstract: BACKGROUND: Physical activity has been
and meta-analyze the dose-response	hypothesized to reduce the risk of preeclampsia, but
relationship between PA and	epidemiologic studies have not shown consistent results.
preeclampsia.	Therefore, we conducted a systematic review and dose-
Timeframe: Inception–November	response meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies. METHODS:
2012	PubMed, Embase, and Ovid databases were searched for
Total # of Studies: 15	case-control and cohort studies of physical activity and
Exposure Definition: Level of PA;	preeclampsia up to 2 November 2012. We estimated
dose-response per 20 metabolic	summary relative risks (RRs) using a random effects model.
equivalent (MET) hours/week; or per	RESULTS: Fifteen studies were included. The summary RR for
1 hour of PA per day; intensity of PA	high versus low prepregnancy physical activity was 0.65 (95%
(high intensity vs low intensity);	confidence interval [CI] = $0.47-0.89$, I = 0% ; n = 5). In the
specific types of PA (high vs low	dose-response analysis, the summary RR was 0.72 (0.53-0.99;
walking; high vs low occupational PA;	I = 0%; n = 3) per 1 hour per day and 0.78 (0.63-0.96; I = 0%; n
and high vs low leisure-time PA).	= 2) per 20 metabolic equivalent task (MET)-hours per week.
Measures Steps: No	The summary RR for high versus low physical activity in early
Measures Bouts: No	pregnancy was 0.79 (0.70-0.91; I = 0%; n = 11). In the dose-
Examines HIIT: No	response analysis, the summary RR per 1 hour per day was
Outcomes Addressed: Risk of	0.83 (0.72-0.95; I = 21%; n = 7) and 0.85 (0.68-1.07; I = 69%; n
preeclampsia.	= 3) per 20 MET-hours per week. A nonlinear association was
Examine Cardiorespiratory Fitness as	observed for physical activity before pregnancy and risk of
Outcome: No	preeclampsia (test for nonlinearity, $P = 0.03$), but not for
	physical activity in early pregnancy (test for nonlinearity, P =
	0.37), with a flattening of the curve at higher levels of activity.
	Both walking and greater intensity of physical activity were
	inversely associated with preeclampsia. CONCLUSIONS: Our
	analysis suggests a reduced risk of preeclampsia with
	increasing levels of physical activity before pregnancy and
	during early pregnancy.
Populations Analyzed: Female;	Author-Stated Funding Source: Norwegian SIDS and Stillbirth
Pregnant; Smoking status;	Society (Landsforeningen Uventet Barnedød).
Geographic location: Europe,	
America, Asia.	

Meta-Analysis Citation: Bonzini M, Coggon D, Palmer KT. Risk of prematurity, low birthweight and pre-eclampsia in relation to working hours and physical activities: a systematic review. Occup Environ Med. 2007;64(4):228-243. doi:10.1136/oem.2006.026872. Purpose: To assess the Abstract: BACKGROUND: Occupational activities are suspected of evidence relating three major having an adverse impact on outcomes of pregnancy. AIM: To assess adverse outcomes (preterm the evidence relating three major adverse outcomes (preterm delivery, low birthweight (LBW) and pre-eclampsia/gestational delivery, low birthweight (LBW) and prehypertension) to five common occupational exposures (prolonged eclampsia/gestational working hours, shift work, lifting, standing and heavy physical hypertension) to five common workload). METHODS: A systematic search of Medline and Embase (1966-December 2005) using combinations of keywords and medical occupational exposures (prolonged working hours, subject heading terms was conducted. For each relevant paper, shift work, lifting, standing, standard details were abstracted that were then used to summarise and heavy physical workload). the design features of studies, to rate their methodological quality (completeness of reporting and potential for important bias or Timeframe: 1966–December confounding) and to provide estimates of effect. For studies with 2005 similar definitions of exposure and outcome, pooled estimates of Total # of Studies: 53 relative risk (RR) in meta-analysis were calculated. RESULTS: 53 **Exposure Definition:** reports were identified-35 on preterm delivery, 34 on birth weight Occupational PA (e.g., and 9 on pre-eclampsia or gestational hypertension. These included standing, lifting, and physical 21 cohort investigations. For pre-term delivery, extensive evidence workload) and other relating to each of the exposures of interest was found. Findings unspecified PA. Data on exposure were collected were generally consistent and tended to rule out a more than moderate effect size (RR >1.4). The larger and most complete studies mostly through self-report (by were less positive, and pooled estimates of risk pointed to only mail, telephone, or interview), modest or null effects. For small-for-gestational age, the position but in a minority of studies job was similar, but the evidence base was more limited. For pretitle was used as a surrogate eclampsia and gestational hypertension, it was too small to allow index of exposure. firm conclusions. CONCLUSIONS: The balance of evidence is not Measures Steps: No Measures Bouts: No sufficiently compelling to justify mandatory restrictions on any of the activities considered in this review. However, given some Examines HIIT: No uncertainties in the evidence base and the apparent absence of Outcomes Addressed: Preimportant beneficial effects, it may be prudent to advise against long eclampsia working hours, prolonged standing and heavy physical work, **Examine Cardiorespiratory** particularly late in pregnancy. Our review identifies several priorities Fitness as Outcome: No for future investigation. **Populations Analyzed:** Author-Stated Funding Source: Not reported. Female, Pregnant, Postpartum

Meta-Analysis				
Citation: da Silva SG, Ricardo LI, Evenson KR, Hallal PC. Leisure-time physical activity in pregnancy and				
maternal-child health: a systematic rev	iew and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and			
cohort studies. Sports Med. 2017;47(2)	:295–317. doi:10.1007/s40279-016-0565-2.			
Purpose: To compare associations	Abstract: BACKGROUND: Evidence suggests that leisure-time			
between leisure time physical activity	physical activity (LTPA) during pregnancy is associated with a			
(LTPA) in pregnancy and maternal	reduced risk of preeclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus			
and child health outcomes.	(GDM), and preterm birth. However, these results are			
Timeframe: Inecption–August 2015	inconsistent when comparing cohort studies and randomized			
Total # of Studies: 81	controlled trials (RCTs). OBJECTIVE: The purpose of our study			
Exposure Definition: LTPA:	was to compare the associations between LTPA in pregnancy			
Randomized control trials assessed	and maternal (GDM, preeclampsia, and weight gain during			
structured exercise programs	pregnancy) and child health outcomes (preterm birth,			
including moderate-intensity physical	birthweight, and fetal growth) between RCTs and cohort			
activities, most including aerobic	studies. METHODS: We performed a systematic search in			
exercises and strength training. The	PubMed, Web of Science, and EBSCO up to 31 August 2015.			
duration of the sessions varied	Inclusion criteria for experimental studies required			
between 20 and 70 minutes. Cohort	randomized trials with a control group and exposure to a			
studies assessed PA by self report	physical activity structured program. The inclusion criteria for			
and accelerometer wear.	cohort studies required information on LTPA during			
Measures Steps: No	pregnancy as an exposure and at least one maternal-child			
Measures Bouts: No	health outcome. We assessed the methodological quality of			
Examines HIIT: No	all studies and performed a meta-analysis to produce			
Outcomes Addressed: Excessive	summary estimates of the effects using random models.			
gestational weight gain. Gestational	RESULTS: We included 30 RCTs and 51 cohort studies. The			
diabetes. Pre-eclampsia. Birth	meta-analysis of RCTs indicated that participation in LTPA was			
weight. Fetal growth. Gestational	associated with lower weight gain during pregnancy, lower			
age.	likelihood of GDM, and lower likelihood of delivering a large-			
Examine Cardiorespiratory Fitness	for-gestational-age infant. Cohort studies indicated that			
as Outcome: No	participation in LTPA was associated with lower weight gain			
	during pregnancy, lower likelihood of GDM, and lower risk of			
	preterm delivery. CONCLUSIONS: Our findings support the			
	promotion of LTPA in pregnancy as a strategy to improve			
	maternal and child health.			
Populations Analyzed: Female,	Author-Stated Funding Source: Not reported.			
Pregnant				

Meta-Analysis

Citation: Di Mascio D, Magro-Malosso ER, Saccone G, Marhefka GD, Berghella V. Exercise during pregnancy in normal-weight women and risk of preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;215(5):561–571. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.06.014.

Purpose: To evaluate the effects of exercise during pregnancy on the risk of preterm birth. Timeframe: Inception–April 2016 Total # of Studies: 9 **Exposure Definition:** Aerobic exercise regimens. Exercises included cycling, hydrotherapy, resistance exercises, and aerobic dance. Duration ranged from 35 to 60 minutes and frequency ranged from 3 to 4 days a week. Intensity of exercise, measured by heart rate (HR), ranged from <60% of age predicted max HR to <80%. Measures Steps: No Measures Bouts: No Examines HIIT: No **Outcomes Addressed:** Incidence of preterm birth <37 weeks. Relative risk or mean difference of gestational age at delivery, spontaneous vaginal delivery, operative vaginal delivery, cesarean delivery, gestational diabetes, hypertensive disorders (defined as gestational

Abstract: BACKGROUND: Preterm birth is the major cause of perinatal mortality in the United States. In the past, pregnant women have been recommended to not exercise because of presumed risks of preterm birth. Physical activity has been theoretically related to preterm birth because it increases the release of catecholamines, especially norepinephrine, which might stimulate myometrial activity. Conversely, exercise may reduce the risk of preterm birth by other mechanisms such as decreased oxidative stress or improved placenta vascularization. Therefore, the safety of exercise regarding preterm birth and its effects on gestational age at delivery remain controversial. OBJECTIVE: The objective of the study was to evaluate the effects of exercise during pregnancy on the risk of preterm birth. DATA SOURCES: MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Sciences, Scopus, ClinicalTrial.gov, OVID, and Cochrane Library were searched from the inception of each database to April 2016. STUDY DESIGN: Selection criteria included only randomized clinical trials of pregnant women randomized before 23 weeks to an aerobic exercise regimen or not. Types of participants included women of normal weight with uncomplicated, singleton pregnancies without any obstetric contraindication to physical activity. The summary measures were reported as relative risk or as mean difference with 95% confidence intervals. The primary outcome was the incidence of preterm birth <37 weeks. TABULATION, INTEGRATION, AND RESULTS: Of the 2059 women included in the meta-analysis, 1022 (49.6%) were randomized to the exercise group and 1037 (50.4%) to the control group. Aerobic exercise lasted about 35-90 minutes 3-4 times per week. Women who were randomized to aerobic exercise had a similar incidence of preterm birth of <37 weeks (4.5% vs 4.4%; relative risk, 1.01, 95% confidence interval, 0.68-1.50) and a similar mean gestational age at delivery (mean difference, 0.05 week, 95% confidence interval, -0.07 to 0.17) compared with controls. Women in the exercise group had a significantly higher incidence of vaginal delivery (73.6% vs 67.5%; relative risk, 1.09, 95% confidence interval, 1.04-1.15) and a significantly lower incidence of cesarean delivery (17.9% vs 22%; relative risk, 0.82, 95% confidence interval, 0.69-0.97) compared with controls. The incidence of operative vaginal delivery (12.9% vs 16.5%; relative risk, 0.78, 95% confidence interval, 0.61-1.01) was similar in both groups. Women in the exercise group had a significantly lower incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus (2.9% vs 5.6%; relative risk, 0.51, 95% confidence interval, 0.31-0.82) and a significantly lower incidence of hypertensive disorders (1.0% vs 5.6%; relative risk, 0.21, 95% confidence interval, 0.09-0.45) compared with controls. No differences in low birthweight (5.2% vs 4.7%; relative risk, 1.11, 95% confidence interval, 0.72-1.73) and mean birthweight (mean difference, -10.46 g, 95% confidence interval, -47.10 to 26.21) between the exercise group and controls were

hypertension or	found. CONCLUSION: Aerobic exercise for 35-90 minutes 3-4 times per week
preeclampsia).	during pregnancy can be safely performed by normal-weight women with
Neonatal outcomes	singleton, uncomplicated gestations because this is not associated with an
including birthweight	increased risk of preterm birth or with a reduction in mean gestational age
and low birthweight.	at delivery. Exercise was associated with a significantly higher incidence of
Examine	vaginal delivery and a significantly lower incidence of cesarean delivery, with
Cardiorespiratory	a significantly lower incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus and
Fitness as Outcome:	hypertensive disorders and therefore should be encouraged.
No	
Populations Analyzed:	Author-Stated Funding Source: Not reported.
Female,	
Normal/Healthy	
Weight (BMI: 18.5–	
24.9), Pregnant	

Systematic Review

Citation: Fazzi C, Saunders DH, Linton K, Norman JE, Reynolds RM. Sedentary behaviours during pregnancy: a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2017;14(1):32. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0485-z.

Purpose: To determine the time spent in sedentary behaviors and the prevalence of sedentary behaviors among pregnant women, and whether sedentary behaviors are associated with pregnancy outcomes in mothers and offspring. Timeframe: Not reported Total # of Studies: 26 **Exposure Definition:** Sedentary behaviors assessed in a variety of ways, including objective measurement (e.g., accelerometer, pedometer), questionnaire, or selfreported diaries. Nonobjective measures were mostly focused on behaviors such as TV viewing and sitting time. Measures Steps: No Measures Bouts: No Examines HIIT: No **Outcomes Addressed:** Maternal outcomes: gestational weight gain, hypertensive disorders, depression, metabolic outcomes, blood lipid levels. Infant outcomes: birth weight, macrosomia, abdominal circumference, gestational length, risk of preterm delivery. **Examine Cardiorespiratory** Fitness as Outcome: No **Populations Analyzed:**

Abstract: BACKGROUND: In the general population, at least 50% of time awake is spent in sedentary behaviours. Sedentary behaviours are activities that expend less energy than 1.5 metabolic equivalents, such as sitting. The amount of time spent in sedentary behaviours is a risk factor for diseases such as type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and death from all causes. Even individuals meeting physical activity guidelines are at a higher risk of premature death and adverse metabolic outcomes if they sit for extended intervals. The associations between sedentary behaviour with type 2 diabetes and with impaired glucose tolerance are stronger for women than for men. It is not known whether sedentary behaviour in pregnancy influences pregnancy outcomes, but if those negative outcomes observed in general adult population also occur in pregnancy, this could have implications for adverse outcomes for mothers and offspring. We aimed to determine the proportion of time spent in sedentary behaviours among pregnant women, and the association of sedentary behaviour with pregnancy outcomes in mothers and offspring. METHODS: Two researchers independently performed the literature search using 5 different electronic bibliographic databases. Studies were included if sedentary behaviours were assessed during pregnancy. Two reviewers independently assessed the articles for quality and bias, and extracted the relevant information. RESULTS: We identified 26 studies meeting the inclusion criteria. Pregnant women spent more than 50% of their time in sedentary behaviours. Increased time in sedentary behaviour was significantly associated with higher levels of C Reactive Protein and LDL Cholesterol, and a larger newborn abdominal circumference. Sedentary behaviours were significantly higher among women who delivered macrosomic infants. Discrepancies were found in associations of sedentary behaviour with gestational weight gain, hypertensive disorders, and birth weight. No consistent associations were found between sedentary behaviour and other variables such as gestational diabetes. There was considerable variability in study design and methods of assessing sedentary behaviour. CONCLUSIONS: Our review highlights the significant time spent in sedentary behaviour during pregnancy, and that sedentary behaviour may impact on pregnancy outcomes for both mother and child. The considerable heterogeneity in the literature suggests future studies should use robust methodology for quantifying sedentary behaviour. Author-Stated Funding Source: National Commission for Scientific

Populations Analyzed:Author-Stated Funding Source: National Commission for ScientificFemales >16, Pregnantand Technological Research, Tommy's and the British HeartFoundation, the MRC Centre Grant.

Meta-Analysis	
Citation: Kasawara KT, c	lo Nascimento SL, Costa ML, Surita FG, e Silva JL. Exercise and physical activity
in the prevention of pre-	-eclampsia: systematic review. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2012;91(10):1147-
1157. doi:10.1111/j.160	0-0412.2012.01483.x.
Purpose: To evaluate	Abstract: Exercise and physical activity have been studied and suggested as
the association	a way to reduce or minimize the effects of pre-eclampsia. Our aim was to
between exercise and	evaluate the association between exercise and/or physical activity and
PA and the	occurrence of pre-eclampsia. We conducted electronic searches without
development of pre-	year of publication and language limitations. This was a systematic review
eclampsia.	designed according to PRISMA. Different databases accessed were as
Timeframe:	follows: PubMed(R); Latin-American and Caribbean Literature in Health
Inception–June 2011	Sciences (LILACS); Scientific Electronic Library On-line (SciELO);
Total # of Studies: 17	Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro); and ISI web of Knowledge(SM).
Exposure Definition:	The Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) were as follows: ("exercise" OR
PA such as	"motor activity" OR "physical activity") AND ("pre-eclampsia" OR
occupational, leisure,	"eclampsia" OR "hypertension, pregnancy-induced"). Inclusion criteria were
or recreational	studies conducted in adults who were engaged in some physical activity. The
activities.	selection and methodological evaluation were carried out by two
Measures Steps: No	independent reviewers. Risk assessment was made by the odds ratio (OR)
Measures Bouts: No	and incidence of pre-eclampsia in the population who performed physical
Examines HIIT: No	activity/exercise. A total of 231 articles were found, 214 of which were
Outcomes Addressed:	excluded based on title and full-text, so that 17 remained. Comparison of six
Risk of pre-eclampsia	case-control studies showed that physical activity had a protective effect on
Examine	the development of pre-eclampsia [OR 0.77, 95% confidence interval (CI)
Cardiorespiratory	0.64-0.91, p < 0.01]. The 10 prospective cohort studies showed no significant
Fitness as Outcome:	difference (OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.93-1.05, p= 0.81). The only randomized clinical
No	trial showed a protective effect on the development of pre-eclampsia in the
	stretching group (OR 6.34, 95% CI 0.72-55.37, p= 0.09). This systematic
	review indicates a trend toward a protective effect of physical activity in the
	prevention of pre-eclampsia.
Populations Analyzed:	Author-Stated Funding Source: No funding source used.
Female, Adults,	
Pregnant	

Citation: Muktabhant B, Lawrie TA, Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M. Diet or exercise, or both, for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;(6):Cd007145. doi:10.1002/14651885. CD007145. pub3.Purpose: To evaluate the effectiveness and safety of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.Abstract: BACKGROUND: This is an update of a Cochrane review first published in 2012, Issue 4. Excessive weight gain during pregnancy is associated with poor maternal and neonatal outcomes including gestational diabetes, hypertension, caesarean section, macrosomia, and stillbirth. Diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy. Dimeframe: Inception- November 2014). contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECINO RATERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely, therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis to assess the rebustness of the included any activity and modality to and modality to and modality to and modality to and modality to and modality to and moda	Meta-Analysis			
preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev.</i> 2015;(6):Cd007145. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007145.pub3. Abstract: BACKGROUND: This is an update of a Cochrane review first evaluate the effectiveness and safety of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy. CWG j and associated poor outcomes; however, evidence from the original review was inconclusive. OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the effectiveness of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy. Timeframe: November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy. November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain a using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions unvolved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ra	Citation: Muktabhant	B, Lawrie TA, Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M. Diet or exercise, or both, for		
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007145.pub3.Purpose: ToAbstract: BACKGROUND: This is an update of a Cochrane review firstevaluate thepublished in 2012, Issue 4. Excessive weight gain during pregnancy isassociated with poor maternal and neonatal outcomes including gestationaldiabetes, hypertension, caesarean section, macrosomia, and stillbirth. Diet orexercise, or both,carcise interventions, or both, may reduce excessive gestational weight gainpreventing excessivereview was inconclusive. OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the effectiveness of diet orweight gain duringpregnancy.pregnancy.pregnancy and associated poer outcomes; however, evidence from the originalnovember 2014searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5November 2014November 2014, contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoingStudies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA:Got (49 only in MA)For preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION ANDExposureDefinition: Exercise(supervised)risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTsaccording to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroupanalyses according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroupand modality to interventions variedunderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widey; therefore, we estimated the average eff	preventing excessive v	weight gain in pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;(6):Cd007145.		
Purpose: ToAbstract: BACKGROUND: This is an update of a Cochrane review firstevaluate thepublished in 2012, Issue 4. Excessive weight gain during pregnancy isassociated with poor maternal and neonatal outcomes including gestationalsafety of diet orexercise, or both,interventions for(GWG) and associated poor outcomes; however, evidence from the originalpreventing excessiveweight gain duringpregnancy.pregnancy.Pregnancynovember 2014Studies and scancel de the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5November 2014Studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA:Safety of NA)EscypeurANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion andrisk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTsaccording to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random-entilted any activityrequiring physicaleffort, carried out tosunderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly dietonly, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise intervention, usuallyreview are appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.sunderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions, usuallyrequiring physicaleffects modeleffects modelincluded any activityrequiring physicaleffort, carried out tosunstan or improveestimated the average effect across study se intervention	doi:10.1002/1465185	8.CD007145.pub3.		
evaluate the effectiveness and safety of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for ureight gain during preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.published in 2012, Issue 4. Excessive weight gain during gregnancy is associated with poor maternal and neonatal outcomes including gestational diabetes, hypertension, caesarean section, macrosomia, and stillbirth. Diet or exercise interventions, or both, may reduce excessive gestational weight gain (GWG) and associated poor outcomes, however, evidence from the original pregnancy.Timeframe: Inception- November 2014(GWG) and associated pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions 65 (49 only in MA)Definition: Exercise (supervised or unsupervised) or with diet, included any activity or with diet, included any activity induded any activity induded any activity induded any activity induded any activity for diret or sub control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the included any activity induded any activity individe supervised were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually were appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of exc	Purpose: To	Abstract: BACKGROUND: This is an update of a Cochrane review first		
effectiveness and safety of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.associated with poor maternal and neonatal outcomes including gestational diabetes, hypertension, caesarean section, macrosomia, and stillbirth. Diet or exercise, interventions, or both, may reduce excessive gestational weight gain (GWG) and associated poor outcomes; however, evidence from the original review was inconclusive. OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the effectiveness of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy and associated pregnancy complications. SEARCH METHODS: We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014)Timeframe: November 2014searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs included any activity requiring physical effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight included any activity requiring physical effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of bias. Study interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excludin	evaluate the	published in 2012, Issue 4. Excessive weight gain during pregnancy is		
safety of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.diabetes, hypertension, caesarean section, macrosomia, and stillbirth. Diet or exercise interventions, or both, may reduce excessive gestational weight gain preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.Timeframe: Inception- November 2014(GWG) and associated por outcomes; however, evidence from the original review was inconclusive. OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the effectiveness of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy and associated pregnancy complications. SEARCH METHODS: We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014Total # of Studies: 65 (49 only in MA)Studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions of preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and heffore exersive GWG on average by 20% overa	effectiveness and	associated with poor maternal and neonatal outcomes including gestational		
exercise, or both, interventions forexercise interventions, or both, may reduce excessive gestational weight gain interventions forpreventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.pregnancy.pregnancy, on associated pregnancy complications. SEARCH METHODS: We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014)Total # of Studies: 65 (49 only in MA)Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the type of bias. Study interventions involved mainly die uontrol. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and herfore we graded this verecise, or both, interventions involved mainly diet to sensitivity analysis, which reduced the tisk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 0.73 to sexrise, or both,	safety of diet or	diabetes, hypertension, caesarean section, macrosomia, and stillbirth. Diet or		
interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.(GWG) and associated poor outcomes; however, evidence from the original review was inconclusive. OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the effectiveness of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.Timeframe: November 2014pregnancy and associated pregnancy complications. SEARCH METHODS: We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs (supervised) interventions only, or with diet, included any activity requiring physical effort, carried out to sustain or improve health and fitness. Interventions varied extractse only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence a singh-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence a singh-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load d	exercise, or both,	exercise interventions, or both, may reduce excessive gestational weight gain		
preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.review was inconclusive. OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the effectiveness of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy.pregnancy.pregnancy.Timeframe: Inception- November 2014pregnancy and associated pregnancy complications. SEARCH METHODS: We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbith Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014, contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of exercise combined all led to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence a shigh-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to sinilar reductions in the number of women gaining excessiv	interventions for	(GWG) and associated poor outcomes; however, evidence from the original		
weight gain during pregnancy.exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during pregnancy and associated pregnancy complications. SEARCH METHODS: We searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014)Timeframe: Inception- November 2014Searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5 November 2014, contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions do for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight included any activity requiring physical effort, carried out to sustian or improve health and fitness. Interventions varied widely in intensity and modality to individualized exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% cordidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced he risk of excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both, interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graaded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low gl	preventing excessive	review was inconclusive. OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the effectiveness of diet or		
pregnancy.pregnancy and associated pregnancy complications. SEARCH METHODS: WeTimeframe:searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5Inception-November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoingstudies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA:Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions65 (49 only in MA)ExposureDefinition: Exercise(supervised)interventions only,or with diet,included any activityrequiring physicaleffort, carried out tosustain or improvehealth and fitness.Interventions variedinclude supervised)include supervisedfindings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving114.44 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studieswere at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly dietonly, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usuallycompared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, weestimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis,where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet orexercise,individualizedexercise programs,dance clases, andprovision ofpedometers orrecessive treadmills.Measures Bouts: NoMeasures Steps: NoMeasures Steps: NoMeasures Bouts:	weight gain during	exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain during		
Timeframe:searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5Inception-November 2014Total # of Studies:Studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA:Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventionsfor preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION ANDExposureANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion andrisk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTsaccording to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random-effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroupanalyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weightcontrol. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of thefindings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studieswere at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions, usuallycompared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, weestimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis,widely in intensityand modality toexercise, programs,individualizedexercise programs,dance clases, andprovision ofpedometers ortreadmills.Measures Bouts: NoMeasures Steps: NoMeasures Bouts: No	pregnancy.	pregnancy and associated pregnancy complications. SEARCH METHODS: We		
Inception- November 2014November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions dof y only in MA)Total # of Studies: 65 (49 only in MA)Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions dor preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and Definition: Exercise according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias. Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this exercise programs, dance classes, and provision of pregnancy.Weomen receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more treadmills.Studies and scanned reference list of exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evide	Timeframe:	searched the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register (5		
November 2014studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA:Total # of Studies:Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions65 (49 only in MA)for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION ANDExposureANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion andDefinition: Exerciserisk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs(supervised)effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroupinterventions only,analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weightor with diet,control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of thefincluded any activityfindings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studieswere at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly dietonly, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usuallycompared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, weinclude supervisedby 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73exercise, nor both, interventions involving low glycaemic load diets,subrity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded thisevidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets,subrity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded thisestrices programs,dance classes, andprovision of <td< td=""><td>Inception-</td><td>November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing</td></td<>	Inception-	November 2014), contacted investigators of the previously identified ongoing		
Total # of Studies: 65 (49 only in MA)Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION ANDExposure Definition: Exercise (supervised or unsupervised) interventions only, or with diet, included any activity requiring physical effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the trisk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we grade this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we grade this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervise	November 2014	studies and scanned reference lists of retrieved studies. SELECTION CRITERIA:		
65 (49 only in MA)for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION ANDExposureANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- unsupervised)interventions only, or with diet, included any activity requiring physicaleffects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average	Total # of Studies:	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of diet or exercise, or both, interventions		
ExposureANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control ureavier dor average or experision diet or exercise, or both interv	65 (49 only in MA)	for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. DATA COLLECTION AND		
Definition: Exercise (supervised or unsupervised)risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias. Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control group with resort to average of RD 2.05% CI 0.77 to 1.16; participants	Exposure	ANALYSIS: Two review authors independently assessed trials for inclusion and		
(supervised or unsupervised)according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random- effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control groups uture, with reard to receive a receive of performed sensitivity and sercise of the serce to the cord the receive of the receive of the receiver	Definition: Exercise	risk of bias, extracted data and checked them for accuracy. We organised RCTs		
unsupervised)effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control	(supervised or	according to the type of interventions and pooled data using the random-		
interventions only, or with diet, included any activity requiring physical effort, carried out to sustain or improve health and fitness.analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving 11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control for active turb the pread the pread the pread due preise (PD 0.50 50% (Cl 0.72 to 1.51) extricapants	unsupervised)	effects model in the Review Manager software. We also performed subgroup		
or with diet,control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of theincluded any activityfindings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involvingrequiring physical11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studieseffort, carried out towere at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly dietsustain or improveonly, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usuallyhealth and fitness.compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, weInterventions variedestimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis,widely in intensitywhere appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet orexercise,exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on averageby 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 0.73exercise programs,evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets,dance classes, andsupervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all ledprovision ofpregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were morelikely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14,Measures Steps: NoMeasures Bouts: NoMeasures Bouts: Noquality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and controlmute with regrard to a provision forgos Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; l(2) = 3%; moderate-	interventions only,	analyses according to the initial risk of adverse effects related to poor weight		
included any activityfindings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involvingrequiring physical11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studieseffort, carried out towere at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly dietsustain or improveonly, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usuallyhealth and fitness.compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, weInterventions variedestimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis,widely in intensitywhere appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet orand modality toexercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on averageby 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 0.73to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded thisexercise, programs,evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets,dance classes, andsupervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all ledprovision ofpregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were morelikely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14,Measures Bouts: No95% Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate-quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and controlforume with report de provide comparisi (PR 0.05.05% Cl 0.77 to 1.16; participante	or with diet,	control. We performed sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of the		
requiring physical11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studieseffort, carried out towere at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly dietsustain or improveonly, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usuallyhealth and fitness.compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, weInterventions variedestimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis,widely in intensitywhere appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet orand modality toexercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on averageinclude supervisedby 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73exercise,to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robustindividualizedevidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets,supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all ledprovision ofpregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were morelikely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, Measures Bouts: No95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate-quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and controlGroups with required to prove some data to quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control	included any activity	findings. MAIN RESULTS: We included 65 RCTs, out of which 49 RCTs involving		
effort, carried out to sustain or improve health and fitness.were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias. Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control	requiring physical	11,444 women contributed data to quantitative meta-analysis. Twenty studies		
sustain or improve health and fitness.only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control groups with rogard to pro oclampria (PD 05, 05% CI 0.27 to 1.16, participants	effort, carried out to	were at moderate-to-high risk of bias. Study interventions involved mainly diet		
health and fitness.compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control groups with prograd to pro oclampeia (PR 0.05, 05% CI 0.077 to 1.16; participants	sustain or improve	only, exercise only, and combined diet and exercise interventions, usually		
Interventions varied widely in intensity and modality to include supervised exercise, individualized exercise programs, dance classes, and provision of pedometers or treadmills.estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis, where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias. Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (Cl) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control groups with regard to pro oclamptia (PR 0.05, 05% Cl 0.77 to 1.16; participants	health and fitness.	compared with standard care. Study methods varied widely; therefore, we		
widely in intensity and modality to include supervised exercise, individualized exercise programs, dance classes, and provision of pedometers or treadmills.where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias.Diet or exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control groups with regard to pro oclampsia (PR 0.05, 05% CI 0.77 to 1.16; participants	Interventions varied	estimated the average effect across studies and performed sensitivity analysis,		
and modality to include supervised exercise, individualized exercise programs, dance classes, and provision of pedometers or treadmills.exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control	widely in intensity	where appropriate, by excluding outliers and studies at high risk of bias. Diet or		
include supervised exercise, individualized exercise programs, dance classes, and provision of pedometers or treadmills.by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73 to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control	and modality to	exercise, or both, interventions reduced the risk of excessive GWG on average		
exercise, individualizedto 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control	include supervised	by 20% overall (average risk ratio (RR) 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73		
 individualized exercise programs, dance classes, and provision of pedometers or treadmills. Measures Steps: No Measures Bouts: No to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; l(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control 	exercise,	to 0.87; participants = 7096; studies = 24; I(2) = 52%). This estimate was robust		
 exercise programs, dance classes, and provision of pedometers or treadmills. Measures Steps: No Measures Bouts: No evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets, supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control 	individualized	to sensitivity analysis, which reduced heterogeneity, therefore we graded this		
dance classes, and provision of pedometers or treadmills.supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and controlMeasures Bouts: Nogroups with regard to pro oclampsia (PR 0.05, 05% CI 0.77 to 1.16; participants	exercise programs,	evidence as high-quality. Interventions involving low glycaemic load diets,		
provision of pedometers or treadmills.to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; l(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and controlTension UNT No.groups with regard to pro oclampsia (PR 0.05, 05% Cl 0.77 to 1.16; participants	dance classes, and	supervised or unsupervised exercise only, or diet and exercise combined all led		
pedometers or treadmills.pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and controlMeasures Bouts: Nogroups with regard to pro oclampsia (PR 0.05, 05% CI 0.77 to 1.16; participants	provision of	to similar reductions in the number of women gaining excessive weight in		
treadmills.likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14,Measures Steps: No95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate- quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and controlTerestine LWTNo	pedometers or	pregnancy.Women receiving diet or exercise, or both interventions were more		
Measures Steps: No95% CI 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; I(2) = 3%; moderate-Measures Bouts: Noquality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and controlTotal Controlgroups with regard to proceedimesia (PR 0.05, 0.5%) CI 0.77 to 1.16; participants	treadmills.	likely to experience low GWG than those in control groups (average RR 1.14,		
Measures Bouts: No quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control groups with regard to pro oclampsia (PR 0.05, 05% CL 0.77 to 1.16; participants	Measures Steps: No	95% Cl 1.02 to 1.27; participants = 4422; studies = 11; l(2) = 3%; moderate-		
E and E and E around with regard to pro-oclampsia (PP-0.05, 0.5% CI-0.77 to 1.16; participants	Measures Bouts: No	quality evidence). We found no difference between intervention and control		
Examines HIII: No groups with regard to pre-ectampsia (KK 0.93, 93% Cl 0.77 to 1.10, participants	Examines HIIT: No	groups with regard to pre-eclampsia (RR 0.95, 95% CI 0.77 to 1.16; participants		
Outcomes = 5330; studies = 15; I(2) = 0%; high-quality evidence); however, maternal	Outcomes	= 5330; studies = 15; I(2) = 0%; high-quality evidence); however, maternal		
Addressed: Mother hypertension (not a pre-specified outcome) was reduced in the intervention	Addressed: Mother	hypertension (not a pre-specified outcome) was reduced in the intervention		
outcomes: weight group compared with the control group overall (average RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.51	outcomes: weight	group compared with the control group overall (average RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.51		
gain (excessive or to 0.96; participants = 5162; studies = 11; I(2) = 43%; low-quality	gain (excessive or	to 0.96; participants = 5162; studies = 11; I(2) = 43%; low-quality		

low), preterm birth,	evidence). There was no clear difference between groups with regard to
pre-eclampsia or	caesarean delivery overall (RR 0.95, 95% CI 0.88 to 1.03; participants = 7534;
eclampsia, preterm	studies = 28; I(2) = 9%; high-quality evidence); although the effect estimate
pre-labor rupture of	suggested a small difference (5%) in favour of the interventions. In addition,
membranes,	for combined diet and exercise counselling interventions there was a 13% (-1%
difficulty of labor	to 25%) reduction in this outcome (borderline statistical significance).We
(e.g., induction of	found no difference between groups with regard to preterm birth overall
labor and cesarean	(average RR 0.91, 95% CI 0.68 to 1.22; participants = 5923; studies = 16; I(2) =
delivery) and	16%; moderate-quality evidence); however limited evidence suggested that
maternal weight	these effect estimates may differ according to the types of interventions, with
retention	a trend towards an increased risk for exercise-only interventions.We found no
postpartum. Infant	clear difference between intervention and control groups with regard to infant
outcomes: birth	macrosomia (average RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.86 to 1.02; participants = 8598; studies
weight and	= 27; I(2) = 0%; high-quality evidence), although the effect estimate suggested
complication related	a small difference (7% reduction) in favour of the intervention group. The
to macrosomia	largest effect size occurred in the supervised exercise-only intervention group
including	(RR 0.81, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.02; participants = 2445; studies = 7; I(2) = 0%), which
hypoglycaemia,	approached statistical significance (P = 0.07). Furthermore, in subgroup
hyperbilirubinaemia,	analysis by risk, high-risk women (overweight or obese women, or women
infant birth trauma	with or at risk of gestational diabetes) receiving combined diet and exercise
(palsy, fracture,	counselling interventions experienced a 15% reduced risk of infant
shoulder dystocia),	macrosomia (average RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.73 to 1.00; participants = 3252; studies
and respiratory	= nine; $I(2) = 0$; P = 0.05; moderate-guality evidence)There were no differences
distress syndrome.	in the risk of poor neonatal outcomes including shoulder dystocia, neonatal
Examine	hypoglycaemia, hyperbilirubinaemia, or birth trauma (all moderate-quality
Cardiorespiratory	evidence) between intervention and control groups: however, infants of high-
Fitness as Outcome:	risk women had a reduced risk of respiratory distress syndrome if their
No	mothers were in the intervention group (RR 0.47, 95% CI 0.26 to 0.85:
	participants = 2256; studies = two; $I(2) = 0\%$; moderate-guality evidence).
	AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS: High-guality evidence indicates that diet or
	exercise, or both, during pregnancy can reduce the risk of excessive GWG.
	Other benefits may include a lower risk of caesarean delivery, macrosomia.
	and neonatal respiratory morbidity, particularly for high-risk women receiving
	combined diet and exercise interventions. Maternal hypertension may also be
	reduced. Exercise appears to be an important part of controlling weight gain in
	nregnancy and more research is needed to establish safe guidelines. Most
	included studies were carried out in developed countries and it is not clear
	whether these results are widely applicable to lower income settings
Populations	Author-Stated Funding Source: National Institute for Health Research. Khon
Analyzed: Female	Kaen University University of Livernool Thai Cochrane Network Thailand
Normal/Healthy	Research Fund/Distinguished Professor Award
Weight (RMI · 12 5_	INDP/INFPA/INICEE/WHO/World Bank Special Programme of Research
249) Overweight	Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction (HRP)
and Ohese	Department of Reproductive Health and Research (RHR) WHO
Pregnant	
Doctoortum	
FUSLDAILUIII	

Systematic Review

Citation: Wolf HT, Owe KM, Juhl M, Hegaard HK. Leisure time physical activity and the risk of preeclampsia: a systematic review. *Matern Child Health J.* 2014;18(4):899-910. doi:10.1007/s10995-013-1316-8.

Purpose: To examine the	Abstract: Today, pre-eclampsia (PE) is one of the leading causes of
association between leisure	maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. It has been
time PA before and/or during	proposed that leisure time physical activity (LTPA) is associated
pregnancy and the risk of	with a decreased risk of PE. The objective of this study was to
preeclampsia.	perform a systematic literature review examining the association
Timeframe: Inception-	between LTPA before and/or during pregnancy and the risk of PE.
November 2011	A systematic search of the EMBASE and PUBMED databases from
Total # of Studies: 11	inception to November 17, 2011 was conducted by two
Exposure Definition: Leisure	independent reviewers. Only studies describing the association
time PA, with the majority of	between the intensity or amount of LTPA before and/or during
the studies including sports	pregnancy and the risk of PE were included. A narrative synthesis
activities, walking, and other	of the results was undertaken following the Preferred Reporting
recreational activities, such as	Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. A
gardening.	quality assessment was performed using the Newcastle Ottawa
Measures Steps: No	Scale. Eleven studies were included. None of the studies found
Measures Bouts: No	light- or moderate-intensity LTPA to be associated with PE. Three
Examines HIIT: No	studies reported that vigorous-intensity LTPA before and/or during
Outcomes Addressed: Risk of	pregnancy may reduce the risk of PE. One study reported a
preeclampisa (proteinuria and a	reduced risk among women who participated in LTPA at least 25
diastolic blood pressure >90	times per month or more than 4 h per week. However, one study
mmHg and/or a systolic blood	found an elevated risk of severe PE with high amounts of LTPA,
pressure >140 mmHg).	defined as 4.5 h per week or more. Results are mixed, but high
Examine Cardiorespiratory	intensity LTPA before and/or during pregnancy or more than 4 h
Fitness as Outcome: No	per week of LTPA may reduce the risk of PE. However, an urgent
	need remains for high-quality studies including different ethnicities
	to further explore this relationship.
Populations Analyzed: Female,	Author-Stated Funding Source: Not reported.
Pregnant	

Meta-Analysis

Citation: Zheng J, Wang H, Ren M. Influence of exercise intervention on gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Endocrinol Invest*. April 2017. doi:10.1007/s40618-017-0673-3.

Purpose: To investigate the	Abstract: AIMS: Exercise intervention might be a promising
influence of exercise	approach to prevent gestational diabetes mellitus. However, the
intervention on gestational	results remained controversial. We conducted a systematic
diabetes mellitus.	review and meta-analysis to explore the effect of exercise
Timeframe: Inception-	intervention on gestational diabetes mellitus. METHODS:
December 2016	PubMed, EMbase, Web of science, EBSCO, and Cochrane library
Total # of Studies: 5	databases were systematically searched. Randomized controlled
Exposure Definition: PA at 10–	trials (RCTs) assessing the effect of exercise intervention on
22 weeks of pregnancy was	gestational diabetes mellitus were included. Two investigators
reported as a supervised cycling	independently searched articles, extracted data, and assessed the
program 3 times per week in two	quality of included studies. The primary outcome was the
of the studies. The other 3	incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus, preterm birth, and
obtained PA based on the	gestational age at birth. Meta-analysis was performed using
American College of	random-effect model. RESULTS: Five RCTs involving 1872 patients
Obstetricians and Gynecologists	were included in the meta-analysis. Overall, compared with
guidelines.	control intervention, exercise intervention was found to
Measures Steps: No	significantly reduce the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus (std.
Measures Bouts: No	mean difference 0.62; 95% Cl 0.43-0.89; P = 0.01), but
Examines HIIT: No	demonstrated no influence on preterm birth (OR 0.93; 95% CI
Outcomes Addressed: Incidence	0.44-1.99; P = 0.86), gestational age at birth (std. mean difference
of gestational diabetes mellitus.	-0.03; 95% CI -0.12 to 0.07; P = 0.60), glucose 2-h post-OGTT (std.
Preterm birth. Gestational age at	mean difference -1.02; 95% CI -2.75 to 0.71; P = 0.25), birth
birth (day). Glucose 2-hour post-	weight (std. mean difference -0.10; 95% CI -0.25 to 0.04; P = 0.16),
oral glucose tolerance test. Birth	Apgar score less than 7 (OR 0.78; 95% CI 0.21-2.91; P = 0.71), and
weight (g). Apgar score < 7. Pre-	preeclampsia (OR 1.05; 95% Cl 0.53-2.07; P = 0.88).
eclampsia.	CONCLUSIONS: Compared to control intervention, exercise
Examine Cardiorespiratory	intervention was found to significantly reduce the incidence of
Fitness as Outcome: No	gestational diabetes mellitus, but had no significant influence on
	preterm birth, gestational age at birth, glucose 2-h post-OGTT,
	birth weight, Apgar score less than 7, and preeclampsia.
Populations Analyzed: Female,	Author-Stated Funding Source: Not reported.
Pregnant	

Table 3. Existing Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Quality Assessment Chart

	Aune, 2014	Bonzini, 2007	da Silva, 2017	Di Mascio, 2016	Fazzi, 2017
Review questions and inclusion/exclusion criteria delineated prior to executing search strategy.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Population variables defined and considered in methods.	No	No	No	Yes	No
Comprehensive literature search performed.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Duplicate study selection and data extraction performed.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Search strategy clearly described.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Relevant grey literature included in review.	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
List of studies (included and excluded) provided.	No	No	No	No	No
Characteristics of included studies provided.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FITT defined and examined in relation to outcome effect sizes.	Yes	No	No	Yes	N/A
Scientific quality (risk of bias) of included studies assessed and documented.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Results depended on study quality, either overall, or in interaction with moderators.	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	No
Scientific quality used appropriately in formulating conclusions.	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Data appropriately synthesized and if applicable, heterogeneity assessed.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Effect size index chosen justified, statistically.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Individual-level meta-analysis used.	No	No	No	No	N/A
Practical recommendations clearly addressed.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Likelihood of publication bias assessed.	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
Conflict of interest disclosed.	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

	Kasawara, 2012	Muktabhant, 2015	Wolf, 2014	Zheng, 2017
Review questions and inclusion/exclusion criteria delineated prior to executing search strategy.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Population variables defined and considered in methods.	No	Yes	No	No
Comprehensive literature search performed.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Duplicate study selection and data extraction performed.	No	Yes	Yes	No
Search strategy clearly described.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Relevant grey literature included in review.	No	No	No	Yes
List of studies (included and excluded) provided.	No	Yes	No	No
Characteristics of included studies provided.	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
FITT defined and examined in relation to outcome effect sizes.	Yes	No	N/A	No
Scientific quality (risk of bias) of included studies assessed and documented.	No	Yes	No	Yes
Results depended on study quality, either overall, or in interaction with moderators.	N/A	Yes	N/A	No
Scientific quality used appropriately in formulating conclusions.	N/A	Yes	N/A	No
Data appropriately synthesized and if applicable, heterogeneity assessed.	No	Yes	N/A	Yes
Effect size index chosen justified, statistically.	No	Yes	N/A	Yes
Individual-level meta-analysis used.	No	No	N/A	No
Practical recommendations clearly addressed.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Likelihood of publication bias assessed.	No	Yes	No	No
Conflict of interest disclosed.	Yes	Yes	No	No

Appendices

Appendix A: Analytical Framework

Topic Area

Pregnancy and Postpartum

Systematic Review Question

What is the relationship between physical activity and the incidence of preeclampsia and eclampsia?

- a. What dose of physical activity is associated with the reported quantitative benefit or risk?
- b. Is there a dose-response relationship? If yes, what is the shape of the relationship?
- c. Does the relationship vary by age, race/ethnicity, socio-economic status, or weight status?

Population

Pregnant adolescents and women and postpartum

Key Definitions

• Postpartum period: Date of birth through one year after birth

<u>Exposure</u>

All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior

Comparison

Pregnant adolescents and women and postpartum mothers who participate in varying levels of physical activity, including no reported physical activity

Endpoint Health Outcomes

Eclampsia

Preeclampsia

Appendix B: Final Search Strategy

Search Strategy: PubMed (Systematic Reviews, Meta-Analyses, Pooled Analyses, and High-Quality Reports)

Database: PubMed; Date of Search: 8/22/17; 27 results (18 results already in database, 9 unique results)

Set	Search Strategy
Limit: Date	("2006/01/01"[PDAT] : "3000/12/31"[PDAT])
Limit: Language	AND (English[lang])
Limit: Exclude animal only	NOT ("Animals"[Mesh] NOT ("Animals"[Mesh] AND
	"Humans"[Mesh]))
Limit: Publication Type	AND (systematic[sb] OR meta-analysis[pt] OR "systematic
Include (Systematic	review"[tiab] OR "systematic literature review"[tiab] OR
Reviews/Meta-Analyses)	metaanalysis[tiab] OK "meta analysis"[tiab] OK metanalyses[tiab] OK
	meta analyses [tiab] OR pooled analysis [tiab] OR pooled
Limit: Rublication Type	NOT ("commont" [Publication Type] OP "aditorial" [Publication Type])
Exclude (Systematic	
Reviews/Meta-Analyses)	
Physical Activity	AND (("Aerobic endurance"[tiab] OR "Bicvcl*"[tiab] OR "Endurance
	training"[tiab] OR "Exercise"[mh] OR "Exercise"[tiab] OR
	"Exercises"[tiab] OR "Free living activities"[tiab] OR "Free living
	activity"[tiab] OR "Functional training"[tiab] OR "Leisure-time physical
	activity"[tiab] OR "Lifestyle activities"[tiab] OR "Lifestyle
	activity"[tiab] OR "Muscle stretching exercises"[mh] OR "Physical
	activity"[tiab] OR "Qi gong"[tiab] OR "Recreational activities"[tiab] OR
	"Recreational activity"[tiab] OR "Resistance training"[tiab] OR
	"Running"[tiab] OR "Sedentary lifestyle"[mh] OR "Speed
	training"[tiab] OR "Strength training"[tiab] OR "Tai chi"[tiab] OR "Tai
	JI [mn] OK Tai JI [tlab] OK Training duration [tlab] OK Training
	"Walking"[tiab] OR "Waight lifting"[tiab] OR "Waight training"[tiab]
	OR "Yoga"[mh] OR "Yoga"[tiah]) OR (("Aerobic activities"[tiah] OR
	"Aerobic activity"[tiab] OR "Cardiovascular activities"[tiab] OR
	"Cardiovascular activity"[tiab] OR "Endurance activities"[tiab] OR
	"Endurance activity"[tiab] OR "Physical activities"[tiab] OR "Physical
	conditioning"[tiab] OR "Sedentary"[tiab]) NOT medline[sb]))
Outcome	AND ("eclampsia"[tiab] OR "pre-eclampsia"[tiab] OR "pre-
	eclampsia"[mh] OR "preeclampsia"[tiab])

Search Strategy: CINAHL (Systematic Reviews, Meta-Analyses, Pooled Analyses, and High-Quality Reports)

Database: CINAHL; Date of Search: 8/20/2017; 10 results (0 unique results) Terms searched in title or abstract

Set	Search Strategy
Physical Activity	("Aerobic endurance" OR "Bicycl*" OR "Endurance training" OR "Exercise" OR "Exercises" OR "Free living activities" OR "Free living activity" OR "Functional training" OR "Leisure-time physical activity" OR "Lifestyle activities" OR "Lifestyle activity" OR "Muscle stretching exercises" OR "Physical activity" OR "Qi gong" OR "Recreational activities" OR "Recreational activity" OR "Resistance training" OR "Running" OR "Sedentary lifestyle" OR "Speed training" OR "Strength training" OR "Tai chi" OR "Tai ji" OR "Tai ji" OR "Training duration" OR "Training frequency" OR "Training intensity" OR "Yoga" OR "Aerobic activities" OR "Aerobic activity" OR "Cardiovascular activities" OR "Endurance activity" OR "Endurance activities" OR "Endurance activity" OR "Physical activities" OR "Physical conditioning" OR "Sedentary")
Outcomes	("eclampsia" OR "pre-eclampsia" OR "preeclampsia")
Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses	("systematic review" OR "systematic literature review" OR metaanalysis OR "meta analysis" OR metanalyses OR "meta analyses" OR "pooled analysis" OR "pooled analyses" OR "pooled data")
Limits	2006–April 2017 English language Peer reviewed Exclude Medline records Human

Search Strategy: Cochrane (Systematic Reviews, Meta-Analyses, Pooled Analyses, and High-Quality Reports)

Database: Cochrane; Date of Search: 8/20/17; 10 results (0 unique results) Terms searched in title, abstract, or keywords

Set	Search Terms
Physical Activity	("Aerobic endurance" OR "Bicycl*" OR "Endurance training" OR "Exercise" OR "Exercises" OR "Free living activities" OR "Free living activity" OR "Functional training" OR "Leisure- time physical activity" OR "Lifestyle activities" OR "Lifestyle activity" OR "Muscle stretching exercises" OR "Physical activity" OR "Qi gong" OR "Recreational activities" OR "Recreational activity" OR "Resistance training" OR "Running" OR "Sedentary lifestyle" OR "Speed training" OR "Strength training" OR "Tai chi" OR "Tai ji" OR "Training duration" OR "Training frequency" OR "Training intensity" OR "Treadmill" OR "Walking" OR "Weight lifting" OR "Weight training" OR "Yoga" OR "Aerobic activities" OR "Aerobic activity" OR "Endurance activities" OR "Endurance activity" OR "Physical activities" OR "Physical conditioning" OR "Sedentary")
Outcomes	("eclampsia" OR "pre-eclampsia" OR "preeclampsia")
Limits	2006-present Cochrane Reviews and Other Reviews Word variations will not be searched

Supplementary Strategies

At full text review members of the Physical Activity Guidelines Pregnancy and Postpartum Work Group identified two relevant articles for consideration^{10, 11} that were not captured by the search strategies.

Appendix C: Literature Tree

Existing Systematic Reviews, Meta-Analyses, Pooled Analyses, and Reports Literature Tree



Appendix D: Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Pregnancy and Postpartum Work Group What is the relationship between physical activity and the incidence of preeclampsia and eclampsia?

- a. What dose of physical activity is associated with the reported quantitative benefit or risk?
- b. Is there a dose-response relationship? If yes, what is the shape of the relationship?
- c. Does the relationship vary by age, race/ethnicity, socio-economic status, or weight status?

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria	Notes/Rationale
de:	
dies published with full text in English	
de:	
dies published in peer-reviewed journals	
ports determined to have appropriate suitability	
quality by PAGAC	
de:	
y literature, including unpublished data,	
nuscripts, abstracts, conference proceedings	
de:	
ginal research	
ta-analyses	
tematic reviews	
oled analyses	
ports determined to have appropriate suitability	
quality by PAGAC	
de:	
nan subjects	
gnant adolescents and women	
tpartum adolescents and women	
de:	
gnant or postpartum adolescents and women:	
ages	
dies that specifically include people because of	
eir disease state (e.g., cancer, chronic disease,	
ibetes, cardiovascular disease)	
ticipants nospitalized for reasons other than	
in/delivery only (acute care, admitted into the	
spital, renabilitation facilities)	
uc.	
gnant women and postpartum mothers who	
uding no reported physical activity	
	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria de: dies published with full text in English de: dies published in peer-reviewed journals ports determined to have appropriate suitability quality by PAGAC de: ey literature, including unpublished data, nuscripts, abstracts, conference proceedings de: ginal research ta-analyses tematic reviews bled analyses ports determined to have appropriate suitability quality by PAGAC de: man subjects gnant adolescents and women ttpartum adolescents and women ttpartum adolescents and women: ages de: ginal or postpartum adolescents and women: ages de: dies that specifically include people because of eir disease state (e.g., cancer, chronic disease, abetes, cardiovascular disease) ticipants hospitalized for reasons other than th/delivery only (acute care, admitted into the spital, rehabilitation facilities) nambulatory adults only de: gnant women and postpartum mothers who ticipate in varying levels of physical activity, uding no reported physical activity

Date of	Include:	
Publication	 Original research published 2006 to present 	
	• Systematic reviews and meta-analyses published	
	from 2006 to present	
Study Design	Include:	
	 Randomized controlled trials 	
	 Non-randomized controlled trials 	
	 Prospective cohort studies 	
	 Retrospective cohort studies 	
	 Case-control studies 	
	 Systematic reviews 	
	 Meta-analyses 	
	 Pooled reports 	
	 PAGAC-approved reports 	
	Exclude:	
	 Cross-sectional studies 	
	 Before-and-after studies 	
	Narrative reviews	
	Commentaries	
	Editorials	
Exposure/	Include studies in which the exposure or	
Intervention	intervention is:	
Intervention	intervention is:All types and intensities of physical activity,	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and activities activities. 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) Studies with measures of physical fitness as the 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) Studies with measures of physical fitness as the exposure 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) Studies with measures of physical fitness as the exposure Studies of multimodal interventions that do not 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) Studies with measures of physical fitness as the exposure Studies of multimodal interventions that do not present data on physical activity alone 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) Studies with measures of physical fitness as the exposure Studies of multimodal interventions that do not present data on physical activity alone Studies that only use physical activity as a 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) Studies with measures of physical fitness as the exposure Studies of multimodal interventions that do not present data on physical activity alone Studies that only use physical activity as a confounding variable 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) Studies with measures of physical fitness as the exposure Studies of multimodal interventions that do not present data on physical activity alone Studies that only use physical activity as a confounding variable 	
Intervention	 intervention is: All types and intensities of physical activity, including lifestyle activities, leisure activities, and sedentary behavior Exclude: Studies missing physical activity (mental games such as Sudoku instead of physical activities) Studies of a single, acute session of exercise Studies of a disease-specific therapeutic exercise delivered by a medical professional (e.g., physical therapist) Studies with measures of physical fitness as the exposure Studies of multimodal interventions that do not present data on physical activity alone Studies that only use physical activity as a confounding variable Include studies in which the outcome is: Eclampsia 	

Appendix E: Rationale for Exclusion at Abstract or Full-Text Triage for Existing Systematic Reviews, Meta-Analyses, Pooled Analyses, and Reports

The table below lists the excluded articles with at least one reason for exclusion, but may not reflect all possible reasons.

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
Allen R, Rogozinska E, Sivarajasingam P, Khan KS, Thangaratinam S. Effect of diet- and lifestyle-based metabolic risk- modifying interventions on preeclampsia: a meta-analysis. <i>Acta</i> <i>Obstet Gynecol Scand</i> . 2014;93(10):973- 985. doi:10.1111/aogs.12467.				х		
Amorim Adegboye AR, Linne YM. Diet or exercise, or both, for weight reduction in women after childbirth. <i>Cochrane</i> <i>Database Syst Rev.</i> 2013;(7):CD005627. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005627.pub3.	х					
Amorim AR, Linne YM, Lourenco PM. Diet or exercise, or both, for weight reduction in women after childbirth. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev.</i> 2007;(3):Cd005627. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005627.pub2.						x
Aune D, Sen A, Henriksen T, Saugstad OD, Tonstad S. Physical activity and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. <i>Eur J Epidemiol.</i> 2016;31(10):967–997. doi:10.1007/s10654-016-0176-0.	x					
Bain E, Crane M, Tieu J, et al. Diet and exercise interventions for preventing gestational diabetes mellitus. <i>Cochrane</i> <i>Database Syst Rev.</i> 2015;(4):Cd010443. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010443.pub2.				Х		
Beddoe AE, Lee KA. Mind-body interventions during pregnancy. <i>J Obstet</i> <i>Gynecol Neonatal Nurs</i> . 2008;37(2):165- 175. doi:10.1111/j.1552- 6909.2008.00218.x.				Х		
Berger AA, Peragallo-Urrutia R, Nicholson WK. Systematic review of the effect of individual and combined nutrition and exercise interventions on weight, adiposity and metabolic outcomes after delivery: evidence for developing behavioral guidelines for post-partum weight control. <i>BMC</i> <i>Pregnancy Childbirth.</i> 2014;14:319. doi:10.1186/1471-2393-14-319.	X					
Bgeginski R, Ribeiro PA, Mottola MF, Ramos JG. Effects of weekly supervised exercise or physical activity counseling on fasting blood glucose in women		х				

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
diagnosed with gestational diabetes						
mellitus: a systematic review and meta-						
analysis of randomized trials. J Diabetes.						
Dec 2016. doi:10.1111/1753-						
0407.12519.						
Bo K, Artal R, Barakat R, et al. Exercise						
and pregnancy in recreational and elite						
athletes: 2016 evidence summary from						
the IOC expert group meeting, Lausanne.			v			
Part 1-exercise in women planning			^			
pregnancy and those who are pregnant.						
Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(10):571-589.						
doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096218.						
Brown J, Alwan NA, West J, et al.						
Lifestyle interventions for the treatment						
of women with gestational diabetes.				v		
Cochrane Database Syst Rev.				^		
2017;5:Cd011970.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011970.pub2.						
Busanich BM, Verscheure SD. Does						
McKenzie therapy improve outcomes for		x				
back pain? J Athl Train. 2006;41(1):117-		Л				
119.						
Cameron AJ, Spence AC, Laws R, Hesketh						
KD, Lioret S, Campbell KJ. A review of the						
relationship between socioeconomic	x					
position and the early-life predictors of	X					
obesity. Curr Obes Rep. 2015;4(3):350-						
362. doi:10.1007/s13679-015-0168-5.						
Carolan-Olah MC. Educational and						
intervention programmes for gestational						
diabetes mellitus (GDM) management:				Х		
an integrative review. Collegian.						
2016;23(1):103-114.						
Choi J, Fukuoka Y, Lee JH. The effects of						
physical activity and physical activity plus						
diet interventions on body weight in						
overweight or obese women who are						
pregnant or in postpartum: a systematic				Х		
review and meta-analysis of randomized						
controlled trials. Prev Med.						
2013;56(6):351-364.						
doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.02.021.						
Cooney GM, Dwan K, Greig CA, et al.						
Exercise for depression. <i>Cochrane</i>		х				
Database Syst Rev. 2013;(9):Cd004366.						
aoi:10.1002/14651858.CD004366.pub6.						
Cooper D, Yang L. Pregnancy, Exercise.						
I reasure Island, FL: StatPearls			Х			
Publishing; 2017.						
Craig IVI, HOWard L. Postnatal depression.		х				
Curtic K Moinrib A Kata L Sustamatia						
review of yogs for program women:	Х					
review of yoga for pregnant women.			1	1		

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
current status and future directions. Evid						
Based Complement Alternat Med.						
2012;2012:715942.						
doi:10.1155/2012/715942.						
Daley A. Exercise and depression: a						
review of reviews. J Clin Psychol Med			х			
Settings. 2008;15(2):140–147.						
doi:10.1007/s10880-008-9105-z.						
Daley AJ, Foster L, Long G, et al. The						
effectiveness of exercise for the						
prevention and treatment of antenatal					Х	
depression: systematic review with						
meta-analysis. BJOG. 2015;122(1):57-62.						
001:10.1111/14/1-0528.12909.						
offectiveness of eversise in the						
management of post patal depression:						
systematic review and meta-analysis		Х				
Fam Pract $2009.26(2).154-162$						
doi:10.1093/fampra/cmn101						
Daley AL Jolly K Sharp DL et al. The						
effectiveness of exercise as a treatment						
for postnatal depression: study protocol.			х			
BMC Preanancy Childbirth. 2012:12:45.			~			
doi:10.1186/1471-2393-12-45.						
Davies GA. Maxwell C. McLeod L. et al.						
Obesity in pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol						
Can. 2010;32(2):165-173.				х		
doi:10.1016/S1701-2163(16)34432-2.						
Delissaint D, McKyer EL. A systematic						
review of factors utilized in						
preconception health behavior research.				Х		
Health Educ Behav. 2011;38(6):603-616.						
doi:10.1177/1090198110389709.						
Dietz P, Watson ED, Sattler MC, Ruf W,						
Titze S, van Poppel M. The influence of						
physical activity during pregnancy on						
maternal, fetal or infant heart rate	х					
variability: a systematic review. BMC						
Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016;16(1):326.						
doi:10.1186/s12884-016-1121-7.						
DiNallo JM, Downs DS. The role of						
exercise in preventing and treating						
gestational diabetes: a comprehensive	V					
review and recommendations for future	Ā					
1 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 = 3 =						
doi:10 1111/i 1751-9861 2008 00019 v						
Dodd IM Grivell RM Crowther CA						
Rohinson IS Antenatal interventions for						
overweight or obese pregnant women: a						
systematic review of randomised trials				Х		
<i>BJOG</i> . 2010:117(11):1316-1326.						
doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2010.02540.x.						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
Dode MA, dos Santos IS. Non classical						
risk factors for gestational diabetes						
mellitus: a systematic review of the	Х					
literature. Cad Saude Publica.						
2009;25(suppl 3):S341–S359.						
Elliott-Sale KJ, Barnett CT, Sale C.						
Systematic review of randomised						
controlled trials on exercise						
interventions for weight management						
during pregnancy and up to one year	х					
postpartum among normal weight,						
overweight and obese women.						
Pregnancy Hypertens. 2014;4(3):234.						
doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2014.03.015.						
Facchinetti F, Dante G, Petrella E, Neri I.						
Dietary interventions, lifestyle changes,						
and dietary supplements in preventing						
gestational diabetes mellitus: a literature	х					
review. Obstet Gynecol Surv.						
2014;69(11):669–680.						
doi:10.1097/OGX.000000000000121.						
Fasanmade OA, Dagogo-Jack S. Diabetes						
care in Nigeria. Ann Glob Health.						
2015;81(6):821-829.	х					
doi:10.1016/i.aogh.2015.12.012.						
Ferraro ZM, Gaudet L, Adamo KB. The						
potential impact of physical activity						
during pregnancy on maternal and						
neonatal outcomes. Obstet Gynecol Surv.			Х			
2012:67(2):99-110.						
doi:10.1097/OGX.0b013e318242030e.						
Field T. Prenatal depression risk factors,						
developmental effects and						
interventions: a review. J Preanancy			х			
Child Health. 2017;4(1).						
doi:10.4172/2376-127X.1000301.						
Firth A. Haith-Cooper M. Egan D. Do						
psychosocial interventions have an						
impact on maternal perception of						
perinatal depression? Br J Midwifery.	х					
2016;24(12):855-866.						
doi:10.12968/bjom.2016.24.12.855.						
Foster NE, Bishop A, Bartlam B, et al.						
Evaluating Acupuncture and Standard						
carE for pregnant women with back pain						
(EASE Back): a feasibility study and pilot			х			
randomised trial. Health Technol Assess.						
2016;20(33):1-236.						
doi:10.3310/hta20330.						
Gardner B, Wardle J, Poston L. Croker H.						
Changing diet and physical activity to						
reduce gestational weight gain: a meta-				х		
analysis. Obes Rev. 2011;12(7):e602-						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
e620. doi:10.1111/j.1467-						
789X.2011.00884.x.						
Gavard JA, Artal R. Effect of exercise on						
pregnancy outcome. Clin Obstet Gynecol.						х
2008;51(2):467-480.						~
doi:10.1097/GRF.0b013e31816feb1d.						
Gilinsky AS, Kirk AF, Hughes AR, Lindsay						
RS. Lifestyle interventions for type 2						
diabetes prevention in women with prior						
gestational diabetes: A systematic		х				
review and meta-analysis of behavioural,						
anthropometric and metabolic						
outcomes. Prev Med Rep. 2015;2:448-						
461. doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2015.05.009.						
Gindlesberger D, Schrager S, Johnson S,						
Neher JO. Clinical inquiries. What's the		х				
best treatment for gestational diabetes?						
J Fam Pract. 2007;56(9):757-758.						
Gong H, Ni C, Shen X, Wu T, Jiang C. Yoga						
for prenatal depression: a systematic						
review and meta-analysis. BMC		Х				
Psychiatry. 2015;15:14.						
doi:10.1186/s12888-015-0393-1.						
Han S, Middleton P, Crowther CA.						
Exercise for pregnant women for						
preventing gestational diabetes mellitus.	х					
Cochrane Database Syst Rev.						
2012;(7):Cd009021.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009021.pub2.						
Harrison AL, Shields N, Taylor NF,						
Frawley HC. Exercise improves glycaemic						
control in women diagnosed with						
gestational diabetes mellitus: a		Х				
systematic review. J Physiother.						
2016;62(4):188-196.						
doi:10.1016/j.jphys.2016.08.003.						
Hollenbach D, Broker R, Herlehy S,						
Stuber K. Non-pharmacological						
interventions for sleep quality and					х	
insomnia during pregnancy: a systematic						
review. J Can Chiropr Assoc.						
2013;57(3):260-270.						
Jacqueminet S, Jannot-Lamotte MF.						
Therapeutic management of gestational						
diabetes. <i>Diabetes Metab</i> . 2010;36(6 Pt		х				
2):658-6/1.						
doi:10.1016/j.diabet.2010.11.016.						
Jonnson M, Campbell F, Messina J,						
Preston L, Buckley Woods H, Goyder E.						
weight management during pregnancy:			Х			
a systematic review of qualitative						
evidence. <i>Midwifery</i> . 2013;29(12):1287-						
1296. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2012.11.016.						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
Jones L, Othman M, Dowswell T, et al.						
Pain management for women in labour:						
an overview of systematic reviews.	v					
Cochrane Database Syst Rev.	^					
2012;(3):CD009234.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009234.pub2.						
Kinser PA, Pauli J, Jallo N, et al. Physical						
activity and yoga-based approaches for						
pregnancy-related low back and pelvic						
pain. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs.			Х			
2017;46(3):334-346.						
doi:10.1016/j.jogn.2016.12.006.						
Kuhlmann AK, Dietz PM, Galavotti C,						
England LJ. Weight-management						
interventions for pregnant or						
postpartum women. Am J Prev Med.				Х		
2008:34(6):523-528.						
doi:10.1016/i.amepre.2008.02.010.						
Lamina S. Agbanusi F. Effect of aerobic						
exercise training on maternal weight						
gain in pregnancy: a meta-analysis of						х
randomized controlled trials. <i>Ethiop J</i>						
Health Sci. 2013:23(1):59-64.						
Lawrence A, Lewis L, Hofmeyr GJ, Styles						
C. Maternal positions and mobility						
during first stage labour. Cochrane				х		
Database Syst Rev. 2013:(10):CD003934.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003934.pub4.						
Lawrence A. Lewis L. Hofmeyr GJ.						
Dowswell T. Styles C. Maternal positions						
and mobility during first stage labour.						
Cochrane Database Syst Rev.				Х		
2009:(2):Cd003934.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003934.pub2.						
Liddle SD. Pennick V. Interventions for						
preventing and treating low-back and						
pelvic pain during pregnancy. <i>Cochrane</i>	х					
Database Syst Rev. 2015;(9):Cd001139.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001139.pub4.						
Madhuvrata P, Govinden G, Bustani R,						
Song S, Farrell TA. Prevention of						
gestational diabetes in pregnant women						
with risk factors for gestational diabetes:						
a systematic review and meta-analysis of	х					
randomised trials. Obstet Med.						
2015;8(2):68-85.						
doi:10.1177/1753495X15576673.						
Magro-Malosso ER, Saccone G, Di						
Mascio D, Di Tommaso M, Berghella V.						
Exercise during pregnancy and risk of						
preterm birth in overweight and obese	х					
women: a systematic review and meta-						
analysis of randomized controlled trials.						
Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
2017;96(3):263–273.						
doi:10.1111/aogs.13087.						
Manna P, Jain SK. Obesity, oxidative						
stress, adipose tissue dysfunction, and						
the associated health risks: causes and	х					
therapeutic strategies. Metab Syndr	X					
<i>Relat Disord</i> . 2015;13(10):423-444.						
doi:10.1089/met.2015.0095.						
Marc I, Toureche N, Ernst E, et al. Mind-						
body interventions during pregnancy for						
preventing or treating women's anxiety.						Х
Cochrane Database Syst Rev.						
2011;(7):Cd007559.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007559.pub2.						
Mathias PC, Elmhiri G, de Oliveira JC, et						
al. Maternal diet, bloactive molecules,						
and exercising as reprogramming tools			Х			
of metabolic programming. Eur J Nutr.						
2014;53(3):711-722.						
001.10.1007/S00394-014-0654-7.						
Niccurdy AP, Boule NG, Sivak A,						
mild-to-moderate depressive symptoms						
in the postpartum period: a meta-	Y					
analysis Obstet Gynecol	~					
2017.129(6).1087–1097						
doi:10.1097/AOG.000000000002053						
McDonald SM. Liu I. Wilcox S. Lau FY.						
Archer E. Does dose matter in reducing						
gestational weight gain in exercise						
interventions? A systematic review of	х					
literature. J Sci Med Sport.						
2016;19(4):323-335.						
doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2015.03.004.						
Mead GE, Morley W, Campbell P, Greig						
CA, McMurdo M, Lawlor DA. Exercise for						
depression. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.		Х				
2008;(4):CD004366.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004366.pub3.						
Meher S, Duley L. Exercise or other						
physical activity for preventing pre-						
eclampsia and its complications.					x	
Cochrane Database Syst Rev. April						
2006;(2):Cd005942.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005942.						
Mener S, Duley L. Rest during pregnancy						
for preventing pre-eclampsia and its						
complications in women with normal					х	
biood pressure. Cochrane Database Syst						
nev. 2006;(2):C0005939.						
Micro A Khuropo L Chasity and the						
metabolic syndrome in developing		v				
countries I Clin Endocrinol Metah		^				
					1	

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
2008;93(11)(suppl 1):S9–S30.						
doi:10.1210/jc.2008-1595.						
Moran LJ, Hutchison SK, Norman RJ,						
Teede HJ. Lifestyle changes in women						
with polycystic ovary syndrome.		х				
Cochrane Database of Systematic						
<i>Reviews</i> . 2011;(2):CD007506.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007506.pub2.						
Morkved S, Bo K. Effect of pelvic floor						
muscle training during pregnancy and						
after childbirth on prevention and						
treatment of urinary incontinence: a	Х					
systematic review. Br J Sports Med.						
2014;48(4):299-310.						
doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-091758.						
Nascimento SL, Pudwell J, Surita FG,						
Adamo KB, Smith GN. The effect of						
physical exercise strategies on weight						
loss in postpartum women: a systematic	Х					
review and meta-analysis. Int J Obes						
(Lond). 2014;38(5):626–635.						
doi:10.1038/ijo.2013.183.						
Nascimento SL, Surita FG, Parpinelli MA,						
Cecatti JG. Physical exercise, weight gain,						
and perinatal outcomes in overweight						V
and obese pregnant women: a						X
systematic review of clinical trials. Cad						
Saude Publica. 2011;27(3):407-416.						
Nascimento SL, Surita FG, Cecatti JG.						
Physical exercise during pregnancy: a						
systematic review. Curr Opin Obstet						Х
Gynecol. 2012;24(6):387-394.						
doi:10.1097/GCO.0b013e328359f131.						
Nasiri-Amiri F, Bakhtiari A, Faramarzi M,						
Adib Rad H, Pasha H. The association						
between physical activity during						
pregnancy and gestational diabetes			Х			
mellitus: a case-control study. Int J						
Endocrinol Metab. 2016;14(3):e37123.						
doi:10.5812/ijem.37123.						
O'Brien OA, McCarthy M, Gibney ER,						
McAuliffe FM. Technology-supported						
dietary and lifestyle interventions in				v		
healthy pregnant women: a systematic				^		
review. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2014;68(7):760-						
766. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2014.59.						
Oostdam N, van Poppel MN, Wouters						
MG, van Mechelen W. Interventions for						
preventing gestational diabetes mellitus:						
a systematic review and meta-analysis. J	Х					
Womens Health (Larchmt).						
2011;20(10):1551–1563.						
doi:10.1089/jwh.2010.2703.						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
Osman SM, Saaka M, Siassi F, et al. A						
comparison of pregnancy outcomes in						
Ghanaian women with varying dietary			х			
diversity: a prospective cohort study						
protocol. BMJ Open. 2016;6(9):e011498.						
doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011498.						
Oteng-Ntim E, Varma R, Croker H,						
Poston L, Doyle P. Lifestyle interventions						
for overweight and obese pregnant						
women to improve pregnancy outcome:				Х		
systematic review and meta-analysis.						
BMC Med. 2012;10:47.						
doi:10.1186/1741-7015-10-47.						
Pennick V, Liddle SD. Interventions for						
preventing and treating pelvic and back						
pain in pregnancy. Cochrane Database						Х
<i>Syst Rev.</i> 2013;(8):Cd001139.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001139.pub3.						
Pennick VE, Young G. Interventions for						
preventing and treating pelvic and back						
pain in pregnancy. Cochrane Database	Х					
Syst Rev. 2007;(2):Cd001139.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001139.pub2.						
Peppers D, Figoni SF, Carroll BW, Chen						
MM, Song S, Mathiyakom W. Influence						
of functional capacity evaluation on						
physician's assessment of physical			Х			
capacity of veterans with chronic pain: a						
2010;9(7):052-059. doi:10.1016/i.pmri 2016.10.011						
Bivarnik IM Chambliss HO Clapp IE at						
al Impact of physical activity during						
al. Impact of physical activity during						
disease rick Med Sci Sports Everc			v			
2006-38/5)-989-1006			~			
doi:10.1249/01 mss 0000218147 51025						
8a						
Povatos-León B. García-Hermoso A						
Sanabria-Martínez G. Álvarez-Bueno C.						
Cavero-Redondo I. Martínez-Vizcaíno V.						
Effects of exercise-based interventions						
on postpartum depression: a meta-	Х					
analysis of randomized controlled trials.						
Birth. 2017:44(3):200–208.						
doi:10.1111/birt.12294.						
Regan M. 'Yoga for prenatal depression:						
a systematic review and meta-analysis.'						
Gong H et al (2015). BMC Psychiatry			Х			
15(1):14. The Practising Midwife.						
2015;18(5):38-41.						
Richards E, van Kessel G, Virgara R,						
Harris P. Does antenatal physical therapy	Х					
for pregnant women with low back pain						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
or pelvic pain improve functional						
outcomes? A systematic review. Acta						
Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2012;91(9):1038-						
1045. doi:10.1111/j.1600-						
0412.2012.01462.x.						
Rimer J, Dwan K, Lawlor DA, et al.						
Exercise for depression. Cochrane		v				
Database Syst Rev. 2012;(7):Cd004366.		X				
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004366.pub5.						
Rogozinska E, Fen Y, Molyneaux E, Khan						
KS, Thangaratinam S. Variation in						
outcomes in trials reporting effects of						
diet and lifestyle based intervention on						
pregnancy outcomes: a systematic			Х			
review. Pregnancy Hypertens.						
2014;4(3):237.						
doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2014.03.024.						
Rogozińska E, Marlin N, Yang F, et al; i-						
WIP (International Weight Management						
in Pregnancy) Collaborative Group.						
Variations in reporting of outcomes in						
randomized trials on diet and physical	х					
activity in pregnancy: a systematic						
review. J Obstet Gynaecol Res.						
2017:43(7):1101–1110.						
doi:10.1111/iog.13338.						
Ronnberg AK, Nilsson K. Interventions						
during pregnancy to reduce excessive						
gestational weight gain: a systematic						
review assessing current clinical						
evidence using the Grading of				х		
Recommendations, Assessment,						
Development and Evaluation (GRADE)						
system. BJOG. 2010:117(11):1327-1334.						
doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2010.02619.x.						
Ruifrok AE, Rogozinska E, van Poppel						
MN. et al. Study protocol: differential						
effects of diet and physical activity based						
interventions in pregnancy on maternal						
and fetal outcomes-individual patient			х			
data (IPD) meta-analysis and health						
economic evaluation. Syst Rev.						
2014;3:131. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-3-						
131.						
Rungsiprakarn P, Laopaiboon M,						
Sangkomkamhang US, Lumbiganon P,						
Pratt JJ. Interventions for treating				v		
constipation in pregnancy. Cochrane				X		
Database Syst Rev. 2015;(9):Cd011448.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011448.pub2.						
Russo LM, Nobles C, Ertel KA, Chasan-						
Taber L, Whitcomb BW. Physical activity	v					
interventions in pregnancy and risk of	Х					
gestational diabetes mellitus: a						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
systematic review and meta-analysis.						
Obstet Gynecol. 2015;125(3):576–582.						
doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000000691.						
Saligheh M, Hackett D, Boyce P, Cobley						
S. Can exercise or physical activity help						
improve postnatal depression and				х		
weight loss? A systematic review. Arch						
Womens Ment Health. July 2017.						
doi:10.1007/s00737-017-0750-9.						
Sanabria-Martinez G, Garcia-Hermoso A,						
Poyatos-Leon R, Alvarez-Bueno C,						
Sanchez-Lopez IVI, Martinez-Vizcaino V.						
Effectiveness of physical activity	v					
interventions on preventing gestational	X					
ulabeles mellitus and excessive maternal						
doi:10.1111/1471.0528.12420						
Shoffield KM Woods Giscombo Cl						
Efficacy feasibility and accentability of						
perinatal yoga on women's mental						
health and well-heing: a systematic	x					
literature review 1 Holist Nurs	~					
2016:34(1):64–79						
doi:10 1177/0898010115577976						
Shivakumar G. Brandon AR. Snell PG. et						
al. Antenatal depression: a rationale for						
studving exercise. <i>Depress Anxietv</i> .	х					
2011;28(3):234–242.						
doi:10.1002/da.20777.						
Shi Z, MacBeth A. The effectiveness of						
mindfulness-based interventions on						
maternal perinatal mental health	v					
outcomes: a systematic review.	^					
Mindfulness (NY). 2017;8(4):823–847.						
doi:10.1007/s12671-016-0673-y.						
Skouteris H, Morris H, Nagle C, Nankervis						
A. Behavior modification techniques						
used to prevent gestational diabetes: a				x		
systematic review of the literature. Curr				A		
Diab Rep. 2014;14(4):480.						
doi:10.1007/s11892-014-0480-6.						
Snapp CA, Donaldson SK. Gestational						
diabetes mellitus: physical exercise and						
health outcomes. <i>Biol Res Nurs</i> .			Х			
2008;10(2):145-155.						
aoi:10.11///1099800408323/28.						
Song C, Li J, Leng J, Ma RC, Yang X.						
Lifestyle intervention can reduce the risk						
of randomized controlled trials Obse	х					
doi:10.1111/obr.12442.						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
Spencer L, Rollo M, Hauck Y, et al. The						
effect of weight management						
interventions that include a diet						
component on weight-related outcomes						
in pregnant and postpartum women: a			Х			
systematic review protocol. JBI Database						
System Rev Implement Rep.						
2015;13(1):88-98. doi:10.11124/jbisrir-						
2015-1812.						
Steiner RD, Adsit J, Basel D. COL1A1/2-						
Related Osteogenesis Imperfecta. In:		х				
GeneReviews. Seattle (WA): University of						
Washington, Seattle; 1993-2017.						
Streuling I, Beyerlein A, Rosenfeld E,						
Hofmann H, Schulz T, von Kries R.						
Physical activity and gestational weight	х					
gain: a meta-analysis of intervention						
trials. <i>BJOG</i> . 2011;118(3):278–284.						
doi:10.1111/j.14/1-0528.2010.02801.x.						
Streuling I, Beyerlein A, von Kries R. Can						
gestational weight gain be modified by						
Increasing physical activity and diet				v		
counseling? A meta-analysis of				X		
2010;92(4):078-087. doi:10.2045/aica.2010.20262						
Sui 7 Grivell PM Dodd IM Antonatal						
evercise to improve outcomes in						
overweight or obese women: a						
systematic review Acta Obstet Gynecol	Х					
Scand 2012:91(5):538-545						
doi:10.1111/i.1600-0412.2012.01357.x.						
Taylor BI, Heath AI, Galland BC, et al.						
Prevention of Overweight in Infancy						
(POI.nz) study: a randomised controlled						
trial of sleep, food and activity						
interventions for preventing overweight			Х			
from birth. BMC Public Health.						
2011;11:942. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-						
11-942.						
Teychenne M, York R. Physical activity,						
sedentary behavior, and postnatal						
depressive symptoms: a review. Am J	Х					
Prev Med. 2013;45(2):217–227.						
doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2013.04.004.						
Thangaratinam S, Rogozinska E, Jolly K,						
et al. Effects of interventions in						
pregnancy on maternal weight and						
obstetric outcomes: meta-analysis of	Х					
randomised evidence. BMJ.						
2012;(344):e2088.						
doi:10.1136/bmj.e2088.						
Thangaratinam S, Rogozinska E, Jolly K,	х					
et al. Interventions to reduce or prevent						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
obesity in pregnant women: a systematic						
review. Health Technol Assess.						
2012;16(31):iii—iv, 1—191.						
doi:10.3310/hta16310.						
Tobias DK, Zhang C, van Dam RM,						
Bowers K, Hu FB. Physical activity before						
and during pregnancy and risk of	x					
gestational diabetes mellitus: a meta-	X					
analysis. Diabetes Care. 2011;34(1):223-						
229. doi:10.2337/dc10-1368.						
Turawa EB, Musekiwa A, Rohwer AC.						
Interventions for preventing postpartum						
constipation. Cochrane Database Syst				х		
Rev. 2015;(9):CD011625.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011625.pub2.						
van der Pligt P, Willcox J, Hesketh KD, et						
al. Systematic review of lifestyle						
interventions to limit postpartum weight						
retention: implications for future	x					
opportunities to prevent maternal	~					
overweight and obesity following						
childbirth. Obes Rev. 2013;14(10):792–						
805. doi:10.1111/obr.12053.						
Van Kampen M, Devoogdt N, De Groef A,						
Gielen A, Geraerts I. The efficacy of						
physiotherapy for the prevention and						
treatment of prenatal symptoms: a				Х		
systematic review. Int Urogynecol J.						
2015;26(11):1575-1586.						
doi:10.1007/s00192-015-2684-y.						
Vanstone M, Kandasamy S, Giacomini M,						
DeJean D, McDonald SD. Pregnant						
women's perceptions of gestational						
weight gain: a systematic review and				х		
meta-synthesis of qualitative research.						
Matern Child Nutr. Oct 2017;13(4).						
doi:10.1111/mcn.12374.						
Wagg A, Bunn F. Unassisted pelvic floor						
exercises for postnatal women: a						
systematic review. J Adv Nurs.	х					
2007;58(5):407-417.						
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04318.x.						
wiede HW, Boule NG, Chari R,						
Davenport IVIH. The effect of supervised						
prenatal exercise on fetal growth: a	x					
2015;125(5):1185-1194.						
williams IVIA, Williamson EIVI, Heine PJ,						
et al. Strengthening and stretching for		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
KITEUMATOLIA ARTIFICIS OF THE HAND		X				
and economic evaluation <i>Health</i>						

Citation	Outcome	Population	Study Design	Exposure	Not ideal fit for replacement of de novo search	Other
Technol Assess. 2015;19(19):1-222.						
doi:10.3310/hta19190.						
Yin YN, Li XL, Tao TJ, Luo BR, Liao SJ.						
Physical activity during pregnancy and						
the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus:						
a systematic review and meta-analysis of	Х					
randomised controlled trials. Br J Sports						
Med. 2014;48(4):290–295.						
doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013-092596.						
Yu Y, Xie R, Shen C, Shu L. Effect of						
exercise during pregnancy to prevent						
gestational diabetes mellitus: a						
systematic review and meta-analysis. J	Х					
Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. May						
2017:1–6.						
doi:10.1080/14767058.2017.1319929.						
Zhou K, West HM, Zhang J, Xu L, Li W.						
Interventions for leg cramps in						
pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.				Х		
2015;(8):Cd010655.						
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010655.pub2.						

References

1. Aune D, Saugstad OD, Henriksen T, Tonstad S. Physical activity and the risk of preeclampsia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epidemiology*. 2014;25(3):331-343. doi:10.1097/EDE.00000000000036.

2. Bonzini M, Coggon D, Palmer KT. Risk of prematurity, low birthweight and pre-eclampsia in relation to working hours and physical activities: a systematic review. *Occup Environ Med*. 2007;64(4):228–243. doi:10.1136/oem.2006.026872.

3. da Silva SG, Ricardo LI, Evenson KR, Hallal PC. Leisure-time physical activity in pregnancy and maternal-child health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and cohort studies. *Sports Med*. 2017;47(2):295–317. doi:10.1007/s40279-016-0565-2.

4. Di Mascio D, Magro-Malosso ER, Saccone G, Marhefka GD, Berghella V. Exercise during pregnancy in normal-weight women and risk of preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;215(5):561–571. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2016.06.014.

5. Kasawara KT, do Nascimento SL, Costa ML, Surita FG, e Silva JL. Exercise and physical activity in the prevention of pre-eclampsia: systematic review. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2012;91(10):1147–1157. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0412.2012.01483.x.

6. Muktabhant B, Lawrie TA, Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M. Diet or exercise, or both, for preventing excessive weight gain in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;(6):Cd007145. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007145.pub3.

7. Zheng J, Wang H, Ren M. Influence of exercise intervention on gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Endocrinol Invest*. April 2017. doi:10.1007/s40618-017-0673-3.

8. Fazzi C, Saunders DH, Linton K, Norman JE, Reynolds RM. Sedentary behaviours during pregnancy: a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2017;14(1):32. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0485-z.

9. Wolf HT, Owe KM, Juhl M, Hegaard HK. Leisure time physical activity and the risk of pre-eclampsia: a systematic review. *Matern Child Health J*. 2014;18(4):899-910. doi:10.1007/s10995-013-1316-8.

10. van der Pligt P, Willcox J, Hesketh KD, et al. Systematic review of lifestyle interventions to limit postpartum weight retention: implications for future opportunities to prevent maternal overweight and obesity following childbirth. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14(10):792–805. doi:10.1111/obr.12053.

11. Nascimento SL, Pudwell J, Surita FG, Adamo KB, Smith GN. The effect of physical exercise strategies on weight loss in postpartum women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2014;38(5):626–635. doi:10.1038/ijo.2013.183.